

Table of Contents

Chapter 3f Alaska Arctic Marine Fish Species

Structure of Species Account.....	2
Bigeye sculpin.....	10
Ribbed Sculpin.....	14
Crested Sculpin.....	20
Eyeshade Sculpin.....	25
Polar Sculpin.....	29
Smoothcheek Sculpin.....	33
Alligatorfish.....	37
Arctic Alligatorfish.....	43

Chapter 3. Alaska Arctic Marine Fish Species Accounts

By Milton S. Love¹, Nancy Elder², Catherine W. Mecklenburg³, Lyman K. Thorsteinson², and T. Anthony Mecklenburg⁴

Abstract

Species accounts provide brief, but thorough descriptions about what is known, and not known, about the natural life histories and functional roles of marine fishes in the Arctic marine ecosystem. Information about human influences on traditional names and resource use and availability is limited, but what information is available provides important insights about marine ecosystem status and condition, seasonal patterns of fish habitat use, and community resilience. This linkage has received limited scientific attention and information is best for marine species occupying inshore and freshwater habitats. Some species, especially the salmonids and coregonids, are important in subsistence fisheries and have traditional values related to sustenance, kinship, and barter. Each account is an autonomous document providing concise information about a species zoogeography, western and Alaska Native taxonomy, life history, niches, and life requirements. Each account is fully referenced with the identification of the most critical literature for Alaska and a more comprehensive listing of referencing from which biological and ecological information was drawn. New-to-science narratives, distributional maps, and vertical profiles, provide quick, reliable sources of information about fish life history and habitat requirements for this segment of the Arctic fauna.

Purpose and Design of Species Accounts

Individual species accounts were prepared for 104 of the 109 confirmed marine fishes for which adequate biological information was available from the U.S. Chukchi and Beaufort Seas. These descriptions are an important source of documentation about Arctic Alaska's marine fish fauna.

Although tailored to address the specific needs of BOEM Alaska OCS Region NEPA analysts, the information presented in each species account also is meant to be useful to other users including state and Federal fisheries managers and scientists, commercial and subsistence resource communities, and Arctic residents. Readers interested in obtaining additional information about the taxonomy and identification of marine Arctic fishes are encouraged to consult the *Fishes of Alaska* (Mecklenburg and others, 2002) and *Pacific Arctic Marine Fishes* (Mecklenburg and others, 2016). By design, the species accounts enhance and complement information presented in the *Fishes of Alaska* with more detailed attention to biological and ecological aspects of each species' natural history and, as necessary, updated information on taxonomy and geographic distribution.

Each species account includes a concise summary of the natural history, population dynamics, functional roles, and traditional and economic values of the marine fish found off Alaska. An initial organizational task was to create a standard format for effective information delivery. The species descriptions by Ehrlich and others (1988) were provided to the USGS by BOEM as an example of a creative template for information transfer. Four pilot species accounts, representing well known to poorly known species, were developed, reviewed, and repeatedly revised for improvements, interagency approval, and selection of the final layout and design. Final decisions about content represented the priority needs of BOEM.

More than 1,200 individual scientific publications relevant to Arctic marine fishes were reviewed in preparation of the species accounts. In each species account, the most relevant literature for each species is cited. A shorter list (about 5–10 articles) identifies key Alaskan information sources that, in our opinion, have had the greatest scientific effect on understanding the species of the Arctic area of the United States.

¹University of California, Santa Barbara.

²U.S. Geological Survey.

³California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, and Point Stephens Research, Auke Bay, Alaska.

⁴Point Stephens Research, Auke Bay, Alaska.

Limitations of Data

The species accounts reveal many gaps in the biological information needed to conduct vulnerability assessments of the marine fishes of the Beaufort and Chukchi Seas to human interventions. Part of this problem relates to the geographic coverage of existing research and surveys in Alaska as, in many instances, we were required to incorporate the results of investigations conducted outside the region. This raises an important caution because, even though the best available information was used in preparing the species accounts, our reliance on data and information from outside Alaska will introduce uncertainty to EIS expectations. Ideally, and with respect to oil and gas activities, baseline information for fishery resources should be collected from the potentially affected environment to appropriately evaluate the potential effects of oil spills or other possible industrial-related disturbances. However, as has been widely noted (for example, Bluhm and others, 2011), systematic and methodologically comparable data typically are not available from Arctic Alaska marine ecosystems. Evaluating change in populations and communities from natural and anthropogenic stressors is limited by the variable quality and lack of quantitative reports on abundance, distribution, community structure, and demographics for Arctic marine fishes.

In each species account, an attempt was made to incorporate the most reliable baseline information available and offer impressions of information needs. Important ongoing studies sponsored by BOEM, and others, may be addressing some of these needs. The needs assessments for this study considered these efforts to the extent that oral and (or) written communications and preliminary results allowed. The focus of this study was on impressions of the population parameters (Williams and others, 2002) and environmental measurements needed to detect changes in marine fish populations (Reist and others, 2006; Wassmann and others, 2011) and their resilience to a variable and rapidly changing environment (Holland-Bartels and Pierce, 2011). For key marine fish species, examples might include changes in range, community structure, abundance, phenology, behavior, and population growth and survival.

Each species account is designed as a self-contained article; therefore, no references to other accounts are included. Additionally, to reduce complexity in the presentations, only common names were used to identify the major predator and prey species for the marine fish described. Because this document was meant to be a companion document to the *Fishes of Alaska* (Mecklenburg and others, 2002), interested readers are encouraged to consult this book or Page and others (2013) and Mecklenburg and others (2016) for more complete information about the scientific authorities and literature citations associated with the original descriptions of each species. Readers are directed to the references cited in each species account for additional information on the species.

Operational Definitions

In [chapter 1](#), several concepts about the temporal and spatial habitat requirements for Arctic marine fish were introduced. More information is presented in this chapter to explain the vertical distribution and the location of shelf break, as used in this report.

Vertical Distribution

The conceptual design of the species depth profiles (vertical structure by life history stage) was patterned after the “coastal marine life zones” of Allen and Smith (1988). The goal of the profiles is to visualize what is known about a species occurrence and reproductive ecology by depth and location. An idealized characterization of Arctic shelves was designed to visualize these relationships. Additional detail about origins of data was included in the depth profiles to reflect Alaskan records or collections from other Arctic regions. This is important because actual field collections and observations are limited from this region. In many instances, the actual presence of a life stage remains unverified by field sampling. Thus, for many of species, the depth of a fish’s life cycle should be considered untested hypotheses in need of additional testing.

Location of Shelf Break

Early versions of the depth profiles were modified at the request of BOEM with respect to the depiction of the continental shelf break. As a special effect for the Arctic, the species depth profiles were redrawn to depict the change in bathymetry that typically occurs at depths of about 75 m throughout the Chukchi and western Beaufort Seas. This depiction is not an attempt to redefine the oceanographic definition of shelf break. Instead, it highlights the relatively sharp gradient in depths that often occurs near 70- to 80-m contours over much of the region. Although species depth profiles in this report depict an apparent “break” at 75-m, three factors were considered: (1) this is a generalization and the actual shelf break may be geographically close but at a slightly greater depth; (2) shelf edge effects on fish distribution at depths occurring between 75-, 150-, or 200-m are likely negligible due to the gradient and area involved; and (3) the conceptual depictions of depth distributions by life history stage are consistent with accepted oceanographic conventions for continental shelf and slope (despite the magnified view at 75-m) and thus are compatible to the import of biological data obtained elsewhere.

Keystone Species

The concept of keystone species describes the critical role certain organisms are perceived to have in maintaining the structure of biological communities and resilience of ecosystem dynamics (Paine, 1966). Arctic Cod (*Boreogadus saida*) are widely distributed in the Arctic Ocean and by virtue of their abundance and intermediate trophic position between invertebrates and higher-level predators are integral to the movement of nutrients in marine food webs. For this reason, Arctic Cod are considered a keystone species in the Arctic marine (Bradstreet and others, 1986; Walkusz and others, 2011). Arctic Cod are common in United States waters of the Beaufort and Chukchi Seas being considered for energy exploration and development and are an ecological focus of BOEM fishery studies to understand potential effects on the species (Maule and Thorsteinson, 2012).

Outline of Species Accounts

The species accounts are scientifically accurate descriptions of the life histories, populations, habitats, and community values of individual species in the Arctic marine ecosystem. The mix of quantitative and qualitative information presented reflects state-of-the-art knowledge, a faunal assessment of information gaps, and prioritization of priority needs for population and process understanding. Limited information for many Alaskan species required that relevant observations from other geographic locales be included. Each species account attempts to be clear about the geographic origins of data and information, through scientific referencing or special notations in graphics. As an example, *italics* are used in the species accounts to highlight data collections from the Alaska study area. In several instances, species information was so lacking that inferences from a closely related species were required.

The generic species account includes a comprehensive accounting of scientific and cultural information in a standard format. The scientific information addresses multiple disciplinary areas including taxonomy, life history and habitats, ecological relationships including predator-prey interactions and environmental preferences, and population ecology. The population information is critical to evaluations of population status and health, resilience, and vulnerability to natural and anthropogenic changes in the marine environment. Each species account includes a photograph of an adult specimen (or line drawing if an image was not available); distribution maps (horizontal and vertical); and concise descriptions of abundance, life history, and ecology (11 life history categories); major stressors; research needs; and key references. To assist users, a suite of easily recognized icons was developed to provide quick access to specific life history information. In addition, some species attributes

regarding life history, population dynamics, and biological interactions are defined in the Glossary (chapter 7).

Information presented in each species account is outlined and described as:

Taxonomic—Scientific and Common Names

The format of the species accounts was, by design, intended to link the biologic and ecologic information presented in this document directly to the species identification guides contained in the “*Fishes of Alaska*.” This connection was established by adherence to naming conventions as described by Mecklenburg and others, 2002 (p. 25 and 26). The common names of each marine fish are presented first, followed by scientific and family names. Each scientific name includes a reference to the name of the person (author) who formally described and named the species in the ichthyological literature. The bibliographic data for the authors and dates of publication of scientific names can be found in Eschmeyer’s Catalog of Fishes online (<http://researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catalog/fishcatmain.asp>) and are not reported here. In some instances, a *Note* (italicized) has been included to describe exceptional details about existing biological data, morphology, nomenclature, taxonomic status, life history strategy, or occurrence of a species in the United States Chukchi and Beaufort Seas.

Iñupiat Name

The existence of colloquial Iñupiat (Iñupiaq) names for the Arctic’s marine fish fauna by indigenous peoples is an important component of traditional ecological knowledge. Relatively few marine fish species are abundant or susceptible enough to subsistence fisheries to have received special names. For those species having Iñupiat names, this information is reported to assure that a common vocabulary can facilitate future exchanges of ideas and knowledge across disciplinary boundaries. In this manner, colloquial names can provide a cultural link between local marine resources and science supporting sustainability of Arctic communities and ecosystems.

Ecological Role

Fishes play a pivotal role in marine ecosystems as secondary and higher-level consumers in many marine food webs. In many instances, information about predator-prey relationships is so limited that only preliminary, qualitative assessments of the relative role of each species are possible. The ecological niche describes how an organism or population responds to resources and competitors. Importance or significance descriptors do not diminish the fact that all organisms contribute in ways large or small to the provision

of ecosystem goods and services. These descriptors however, may provide useful information about the relative importance of a particular species as an indicator of ecosystem condition and trajectories of change associated with climate change, habitat fragmentation, ecosystem stress, effect of pollutants, or other anthropogenic effects.

Physical Description/Attributes

A brief physical description of the species is summarized from information presented by Mecklenburg and others, (2002) in the *Fishes of Alaska*; the relevant page number is included for quick referral to more comprehensive morphological information. An image of the adult form of each fish is presented with appropriate attribution. High-quality images were selected to highlight the key identifying features of a particular species.

Information about the presence of a swim bladder and antifreeze glycoproteins is included because of its relevance to geo-seismic oil and gas exploration, climate change issues, and evolutionary life history.

Range

The geographic occupancy of the species in United States sectors of Chukchi and Beaufort Seas and adjacent waters is presented in brief narratives and depicted on maps. Known occurrence in the Arctic OCS Planning Areas is highlighted by symbols indicating locations of valid species identifications from properly archived voucher specimens on each map. Although the symbols on the maps may suggest that some of the species are rare in the region, the study of historical collections from the United States and Canadian sectors of the Beaufort Sea, as well as the collections from BOEM surveys in the Beaufort in 2011 and 2012, is still in progress and may reveal that these species are more abundant in deep sectors of the study area than the maps suggest. Definitions of zoogeographic pattern are from the Online Resource 1 (electronic supplemental to Mecklenburg and others, 2011), Mecklenburg and Steinke (2015), and Mecklenburg and others (2016) and relate to ranges of population viability (see [chapter 2](#)).

Depth profiles in each species account graphically summarize existing information about the benthic and reproductive distributions of each marine fish. In both depth profiles, the width of areas depicted confers species information about horizontal (onshore-offshore) patterns of distribution. The italicized captions in the depth profiles highlight species information germane to the study area. Areas in the graphs denoted by the orange coloration represent understanding from data collection within the United States Chukchi and Beaufort Seas; olive colors represent data collection outside the study area. For benthic distributions,

solid lines in the depth profiles represent species for which no specific information is available about its preferred depth range. Solid lines represent a synthesis of understanding that includes information not necessarily specific to the study area. In some instances, only one record of a species occurrence by depth was available and coding in orange was not meaningful. In these cases, an explanatory comment, in italicized font, with a line pointing to the appropriate depth was included in the graph (for example, see the species account for *Megalocottus platycephalus*). Highlighted depths as indicated through “bolded” (dark black) and dashed segments, represent most common depths where the species has been detected, and depth distribution as has been reported throughout the species range, respectively. Areas denoted with diagonal cross-hatching represents depth distribution of juveniles (immature); adult distributions are not cross-hatched and age-related habitat overlaps, are informed by captioning in the figures.

For reproductive distribution, eggs and larvae (pre-juvenile life stages) of marine fishes are represented with respect to depth and distance from the coast. Orange areas in the reproductive distribution profiles represent data collection in the study area. In many instances, information about spawning habitats and egg and larval distributions is summarized from information reported from throughout a species range. In these cases, dark blue represents species distributions in spawning habitats; light blue represents the geographic distributions of eggs and larvae; and light green is used to highlight areas of substantial habitat overlap (for example, see the species account for *Hippoglossus stenolepis*). Distribution patterns of eggs and larvae are symbolized by “dots” and “horizontal dashes,” respectively, in the graphs. As for benthic distribution, solid lines represent species-specific information from data collections from throughout the species entire range. Highlighted (dark black lines) segments of solid lines indicate the most common depths where egg and larvae samples have been collected. Dashed lines represent areas of hypothesized distributions for species for which no information is available about egg or larval occurrence. In these instances the hypothesized distributions are based on known patterns for closely related species; the lack of data is stated in captions above the graph.

Relative Abundance


Relative abundance refers to the contribution a species makes to the total abundance of the fishery community. It is a measure that provides an index of the number of individuals present, but not the actual numbers. Relative abundance terms, such as “common,” “uncommon,” or “rare” often are used to express the general population status of a given species, but are most useful when they are defined by something that is measured or estimated in a manner that makes comparison meaningful.

Depth Range

Benthic distribution refers to the spatial arrangement of a particular species at different depths over continental shelf and slope waters. The life cycle of fishes occurs in multiple dimensions in time and space and generally reflects genetically determined life history or behavior that has evolved to maximize fitness (life time reproductive success, see Gross [1987]). Benthic distribution profiles for each species represent the location of important habitats as they are presently known for juvenile and marine fishes. Reproductive distributions depict important habitats for spawning and early life history development.

Life History, Population Dynamics, and Biological Interactions


Life history theory holds that the schedule and duration of key events in a species' lifetime are shaped by natural selection to produce the largest possible number of surviving offspring. These events, notably juvenile development, age of sexual maturity, first reproduction, number of offspring and level of parental investment, senescence, and death, depend on the abiotic and biotic environment of the organism. Specific information about these traits informs understanding of a species' adaptive capacity including major influences on population abundance. A number of fisheries models use basic length-weight and age-at-size relationships to describe the growth and dynamics of fishery populations (for example, von Bertalanffy and Gompertz, growth models and derivatives [Ricker, 1975]). Ecological models estimate transfer of energy or matter along the trophic chain (Gamito, 1998). The parameters that are estimated in these models are individually important indicators of population condition and may be used with other indicators to derive quantitative information about compensatory responses and resilience. Much of this information, including population parameters, has been compiled in FishBase for the Arctic marine fish (Froese and Pauly, 2012).



Habitats and Life History—Basic information about the life history (for example, body size, reproductive ecology, growth) and ecology (for example, mobility, growth, habitat) of a species and the environmental area inhabited by that species is foundational to

effective resource management. Habitat is the natural environment that influences and is used by a species population. Information about abiotic (that is, temperature, salinity, other physiochemical factors, depth, and substrate types) and biotic (that is, type and abundance of food, presence of other biota) often are used to describe fish habitats and provide insights about a species environmental preferences and habitat associations (for example, water masses). Maximum body size often is reported and can be an

important surrogate of different life history traits (for example, age at maturity, growth, and reproductive output). In population dynamics studies, the relationships between length and weight and size and age form the basis for population growth and production models and quantitative analysis of environmental effects. Length measurements are reported as standard length (SL), total length (TL), and fork length (FL) in fisheries studies.



Behavior (see also Glossary [chapter 7]).—

Behavior is the manner in which a fish operates or functions within its environment (that is, home range, territoriality, and many others) to procure food, orient to specific locations, or relate to other organisms. Knowing how


individuals respond to the environment (physical, chemical, and biological cues) is critical to understanding population processes such as distribution, survival, and reproduction and recruitment and for managing fisheries. Many behaviors are evolutionary adaptations to the physiological and reproductive requirements for a species' survival. For example, migration involves the regular movement of animals between different geographic locations. Migrations can be extensive in terms of time and distance involved (anadromous model) or seasonal (amphidromous and marine models). Each of these models reflects a life strategy adapted for age and growth at sea. Diel relates to daily changes in water column position due to changes in light, temperature, and food supply.

Migratory behaviors are rooted in physiological requirements for food, growth, reproductive, and survival ("scope for growth"). Movement behaviors are more tactical responses to local environmental conditions (for example, variable hydrographic conditions in the nearshore Beaufort Sea). Fish movement can be active or passive and involve large distances in search of suitable habitats and foods. The seasonal nature of migration and movement behaviors are typically related to life history stage, predator-prey distributions, or energetic requirements for growth.

Schooling (that is, social structure of fish of the same species moving in more or less harmonious patterns in the sea) often is related to survival and reproduction. Schooling confers physical benefits to fish movement, safety against predators, search behaviors (for example, foods), population immunology, and reproduction.


The functional feeding morphology of a fish relates to its anatomical adaptations (for example, body size, gape sizes, shape, and body form) to environmental conditions especially food preferences. The adage "function determines morphology and morphology determines way of life" is an important evolutionary concept as it applies to fish feeding behavior, dietary preferences, habitat selection, and trophic stature. Trophic position (within categories of trophic levels) expresses the "tendency of larger (less abundant) fishes feeding on smaller (more abundant) fishes, which themselves feed on zooplankton and all these animals resting upon primary producers" (from Pauly and Watson, 2005). Categories of trophic levels are:

- Trophic level 1 (T1), plants and animals make their own food and are called primary producers;
- Trophic level 2 (T2), herbivores eat plants and are called primary consumers;
- Trophic level 3 (T3), carnivores eat herbivores and are called secondary consumers;
- Trophic level 4 (T4), carnivores eat other carnivores and are called tertiary consumers; and
- Trophic level 5 (T5), apex consumers, which have no predators, are at the top of the food chain.



Populations or Stocks—A population often is defined as a group of organisms of the same species occupying a particular space at a particular time with the potential to breed with each other (Williams and others, 2002). Stocks are subpopulations of a particular species of

fish that result from reproductive isolation and subdivisions within the biological range. The current state of knowledge about local stocks and their genetic population structure is reported. Grossberg and Cunningham (2001) described the combined effects of demographic, behavioral, genetic, oceanographic, climate, and tectonic processes as major determinants of population structure. These mechanisms act across a range of temporal and spatial scales to determine the rates and patterns of dispersal of different life stages of marine fishes. Dispersal, combined with the successful reproduction and survival of immigrants, control the scale and rate of processes that build or erode structure within and among groups of individuals.




Reproduction Mode—Little information is available about the spawning times and locations, mating behaviors (breeders or nonbreeders), and genetic diversity of Arctic marine fishes. What is known is drawn largely from observations from populations studied

outside the United States. For most Arctic marine fish species, there is no information about population or stock structure (for example, age structure, reproductive behavior, sex ratios, age-at-maturity, fecundity, and genetic). These are key population parameters needed for understanding reproductive ecology, population dynamics (for example, growth, survival, and mortality), and assessments of resiliency (response to disturbance).




Food and Feeding—Dietary information is summarized from literature and, unless in italics, is reported from other regions. Fish communities can affect the ecological characteristics of marine ecosystems in

response to productivity and abundance patterns, the mobility and migratory behavior of species, and through food influences in different habitats (for example, Grebmeier and others, 2006b). Trophic Index (T) values are reported from FishBase (Froese and Pauly, 2012). The T values for Arctic marine fishes are largely derived from stomach contents analyses, which have correlated well with stable isotopes of nitrogen in tissues. The fractional values (between 1 and 5) realistically address complexities of consumer feeding behaviors (omnivory and feeding across multiple trophic levels) and predator-prey relationships. For example, the mean T value for Blackline Prickleback (*Acantholumpenus mackayi*) is 3.1 (± 0.31). This mid food web value is indicative of a primary carnivore that feeds across trophic levels, in this case on lower level herbivores.



Biological Interactions.—The effects organisms in a community have on one another. Competition and consumption (predation, herbivory, or cannibalism) are the best known of the major ecological processes affecting resource abundance, community

composition, and ecosystem function. Competition involves interactions between individuals of the same species (intraspecific) or different species (interspecific) in which the fitness of one is lowered by the presence of another. Competition often is related to food and habitat requirements and reproductive behavior. Interspecific competition for foods is greatest for species occupying similar trophic positions in relatively short food chains and for animals living in regions of low biological productivity.



Resilience—In ecology, resilience traditionally refers to the ability of a population or biotic community to sustain or return to its former state after a disturbance. The rate of recovery is a measure of resilience determined by the population processes involved in restoring abundance to healthy, sustainable, or pre-disturbance levels. Four categories of productivity (high, medium, low, and very low) are used to classify reliance in marine fish populations (Musick, 1999). These categories are based on a combination of population parameters for intrinsic rate of growth, growth coefficient, fecundity, age at maturity, and maximum age. Because population parameters were unavailable, resiliency is defined here based on estimated population doubling time where high = <15 months, medium = 1.4–4.4 years, and low = 4.5–14 years.

Traditional, Cultural, and Economic Values

In August 2009, the U.S. Secretary of Commerce approved a Fishery Management Plan for the Arctic Management Area. The plan covers U.S. Arctic waters in the

Chukchi and Beaufort Seas, and acknowledges that changing climate may potentially favor the development of commercial fisheries. However, until adequate fisheries resource assessments are completed, the region remains closed to commercial fishing in federal waters. A small salmon fishery exists in Kotzebue Sound; in 2010, a small commercial fishery for Arctic Ciscoes in the Colville River was terminated.



Traditional and Cultural Importance.—

Several species of nearshore marine fishes are important in subsistence fisheries. The protection of traditional lifestyles and economies, including these subsistence fisheries, is a responsibility of the Federal government. Subsistence relates to resource use patterns (for example, seasonal round) and values (that is, sustenance, kinship, and barter) in coastal communities of northern Alaska.



Commercial Fisheries.—Currently (2016) there are no offshore marine fisheries in the U.S. Chukchi and Beaufort seas. Changing Arctic environmental conditions and shifting distributions of species in response to warming suggest that there may be fisheries in the

future. A precautionary approach by fishery managers has been adopted that requires the collection of reliable baseline information for decision-making and ecosystem management (North Pacific Fishery Management Council [North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 2009; Wilson and Ormseth, 2009]).

Climate Change

Alaska's climate is changing at more than twice the rate of the rest of the United States (Mellilo and others, 2014). Year-to-year and regional variability in air temperatures are evident and the warming trend currently is being moderated by large-scale cooling associated with the Pacific Decadal Oscillation. Even so, climate effects are pronounced and are being seen in changes in sea ice, timing of snowmelt, widespread glacier retreat, and changes in hydrology (runoff) and coastal processes, such as erosion (Markon and others, 2012). The effects of rising ocean temperatures and ocean acidification on marine food webs are of growing regional concern with respect to the condition and trends in marine ecosystems and human community resilience are of concern. Climate changes potentially can affect marine fish in numerous ways, leading to distributional changes, increased or decreased mortality rates, changes in growth rates, and by altering the timing in reproduction (Clow and others, 2011).




Potential Effects of Climate Change.—A pole-ward shift of many fish distributions is possible as is a reduction or extinction of species that are narrowly adapted to Arctic

environments. Generally, the species are expected to increase in abundance if they are currently present in the Bering Sea and decrease if they have very low tolerance for temperatures greater than 1.5–2.0 °C. However, it is hypothesized in current climate projections that temperatures near the ocean floor in the northern Bering Sea will remain cold (<2 °C) due to persistence of winter sea ice (Sigler and others, 2011). Cold-water conditions and other marine ecosystem effects related to seasonal sea ice extent and timing of retreat may effectively block northward migrations and production of exploitable quantities of species, such as pollock and cod, for several decades. Shifts in range and other possible climate-related effects, such as increased predation or competition for food, are identified in the species accounts. Only “loose qualitative generalizations” are presently possible (Reist and others, 2006).

Research Needs

The compilation and review of species information for species in U.S. Arctic waters revealed many gaps in life history understanding and environmental relations. These are evaluated on the basis of a species current fishery and community values and ecological significance in marine ecosystem structure and function. The needs reflect the researcher's perceptions and their understanding that new fishery information is becoming available for the Arctic region and that, although Arctic research is currently a national priority, some aspects of population ecology will take many years of data collection to accurately assess.



Areas for Future Research.—The preparation of individual accounts led to the identification of many information gaps in knowledge about the biology and ecology of marine species including life history, population dynamics, and community associations. Generally,

species life history and ecology gaps are most pronounced with respect to: (1) depth and location of pelagic larvae; (2) depth, location, and timing of young-of-the-year habitats; (3) preferred depth ranges for juveniles and adults; (4) spawning seasons; (5) seasonal and ontogenetic movements; (6) population genetics and dynamics; (7) prey–predator relationships and food web relationships; and (8) environmental health (multiple stressor effects on fitness). Behavioral studies for all life stages are virtually non-existent. New information is being developed and, for the lesser-known species, gaps may be slowly addressed over time. Priority needs, for species having special significance in subsistence fisheries and marine food webs or that may be indicator species are emphasized in the species accounts. One of two categories of identified research need is identified for each species. The meaning of the categories [A] and [B] is as follows:

- **[A]** Many gaps in our understanding of the species life history and ecology remain in Alaska (for example, research areas 1 through 8). These are high profile species in terms of ecological, subsistence, or potential fisheries values. Specific research priorities are briefly discussed.
- **[B]** Most aspects of the species life history and ecology are unknown for Alaska (for example, research areas 1 through 8). Species information will likely accumulate over time and focused studies are not warranted at this time.

References Cited and Bibliography

A thorough review of scientific literature was done in the preparation of the species account. A list of references (References Cited [[chapter 8](#)]) is provided for each species for readers seeking additional information. This list identifies key sources of information that make the greatest contributions to current knowledge (2014) and understanding. The Bibliography section provides a full accounting of all scientific literature cited in each species account. For a small number of species from the family Cottidae, only a Bibliography was possible to provide and this is indicative of the lack of information available. Citations are not always in numerical order in species accounts because new information became available during the production phase of this publication and were incorporated into the species accounts as appropriate.

Bigeye Sculpin (*Triglops nybelini*)

Jensen, 1944

Family Cottidae

Colloquial Name: *None within U.S. Chukchi and Beaufort Seas.*

Ecological Role: Largely unknown. However, the Bigeye Sculpin is an uncommon species and is unlikely to represent a significant prey resource to higher level organisms


Physical Description/Attributes: Dark brown back without dark saddles, cream-colored belly and broken or complete black lines along sides. For specific diagnostic characteristics, see *Fishes of Alaska* (Mecklenburg and others, 2002, p. 426) [1]. Swim bladder: Absent [1]. Antifreeze glycoproteins in blood serum: Unknown.

Ranges: *U.S. Chukchi Sea slope and U.S. Beaufort Sea slope eastward to 149°W* [2]. Practically circumpolar in Arctic Ocean [3].

Relative Abundance: *Unknown, likely uncommon, especially at depths shallower than 200 m.*



Bigeye Sculpin (*Triglops nybelini*) 105 mm, Chukchi Borderland, 2009. Photograph by C.W. Mecklenburg, Point Stephens Research.




Geographic distribution of Bigeye Sculpin (*Triglops nybelini*) within Arctic Outer Continental Shelf Planning Areas [4] based on review of published literature and specimens from historical and recent collections [1-3].


Depth Range: 9–1,354 m, usually deeper than 200 m [1, 3]. *As shallow as 101 m in U.S. Beaufort Sea* [2].

Triglops nybelini
Bigeye Sculpin


Benthic distribution



Reproductive distribution



Benthic and reproductive distribution of Bigeye Sculpin (*Triglops nybelini*).



Habitats and Life History

Eggs—Size: 3 mm, almost ripe eggs in Kara Sea [5]. Time to hatching: Unknown. Habitat: Likely benthic, based on other members of this family [1, 5].


Larvae—Size at hatching: Unknown. Size at juvenile transformation: Unknown. Days to juvenile transformation: Unknown. Habitat: Likely pelagic, based on other members of this family [1, 5]. [1].

Juveniles—Age and size: Unknown. Habitat: Likely benthic, based on other members of this family [1, 5].

Adults—Age and size at first maturity: Starting at 3–4 years, at latest by 7 years, and 14 cm in the Barents Sea. [6]. Maximum age: Unknown. Maximum size: 17 cm TL [1]. Habitat: Likely benthic, based on other members of this family [1, 5].

Substrate—Soft sea floors [5, 7].

Physical/chemical—Temperature: -1.8 to -0.1 °C, in Russian Arctic Ocean [5]. Salinity: Marine [5, 7], prefers less than 34 ppt [6].



Behavior

Diel—Unknown. Seasonal—Unknown.

Reproductive—Unknown.

Schooling—Unknown.

Feeding—Unknown.



Populations or Stocks

There have been no studies.



Reproduction

Mode—Unknown.


Spawning season—Probably summer and early autumn, off Europe and Asia [5, 6].

Fecundity—600–100 eggs [6]. Mature females contain more than one size class of eggs. In Kara Sea two females contained 307 and 600 eggs of the largest class size [5].




Food and Feeding

Food items—In Russian Arctic Ocean, zooplanktors such as euphausiids and calanoid copepods [5] and fish [6].
Trophic level—3.29 standard error 0.41 [8].



Biological Interactions

Predators—In Hudson Bay, Thick-billed Murres and Black Guillemots [9].
Competitors—Presumably a wide range of other zoobenthic feeders such as Arctic Cod, Walleye Pollock, poachers, eelpouts, and other sculpins.



Resilience

Medium, minimum population doubling time: 1.4–4.4 years (Preliminary *K* or Fecundity) [8].



Traditional and Cultural Importance

None reported.




Commercial Fisheries

Currently, Bigeye Sculpin are not commercially harvested.



Potential Effects of Climate Change

The Bigeye Sculpin is an endemic Arctic species [3]. A northerly shift in its distribution to colder and deeper offshore waters may be an outcome of climate warming.



Areas for Future Research [B]

Little is known about the biology and ecology of this species from the region. Research needs include:
 (1) depth and location of pelagic larvae, (2) depth, location, and timing of young-of-the-year benthic recruitment, (3) preferred depth ranges for juveniles and adults, (4) spawning season, (5) seasonal and ontogenetic movements, (6) population studies, (7) prey, and (8) predators.

References Cited

- Andriashev, A.P., 1954, Fishes of the northern seas of the U.S.S.R.—Keys to the fauna of the U.S.S.R.: Academy of Sciences of the U.S.S.R., Zoological Institute, no. 53, 566 p. [In Russian, translation by Israel Program for Scientific Translation, Jerusalem, 1964, 617 p., available from U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia.] [5]
- Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2002, Fishes of Alaska: Bethesda, Maryland, American Fisheries Society, 1,116 p. [1]
- Mecklenburg, C.W., Møller, P.R., and Steinke, D., 2011, Biodiversity of Arctic marine fishes—Taxonomy and zoogeography: Marine Biodiversity, v. 41, no. 1, p. 109–140, Online Resource 1. [3]


Bibliography

1. Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2002, Fishes of Alaska: Bethesda, Maryland, American Fisheries Society, 1,116 p.
2. Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., Sheiko, B.A., and Steinke, D., 2016, Pacific Arctic marine fishes: Akureyri, Iceland, Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna, Monitoring Series Report No. 23, 406 p., accessed May 10, 2016, at <http://caff.is/monitoring-series/370-pacific-arcticmarine-fishes>.
3. Mecklenburg, C.W., Møller, P.R., and Steinke, D., 2011, Biodiversity of Arctic marine fishes—Taxonomy and zoogeography: Marine Biodiversity, v. 41, no. 1, p. 109–140, Online Resource 1.
4. Minerals Management Service, 2008, Beaufort Sea and Chukchi Sea planning areas—Oil and Gas Lease Sales 209, 212, 217, and 221: U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service Alaska OCS Region, OCS EIS/EA, MMS 2008-0055, 538 p.
5. Andriashev, A.P., 1954, Fishes of the northern seas of the U.S.S.R.—Keys to the fauna of the U.S.S.R.: Academy of Sciences of the U.S.S.R., Zoological Institute, no. 53, 566 p. [In Russian, translation by Israel Program for Scientific Translation, Jerusalem, 1964, 617 p., available from U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia.]
6. Wienerroither, R., Johannesen, E., Langøy, H., Børve Eriksen, K., de Lange Wenneck, T., Høines, Å., Bjelland, O., Aglen, A., Prokhorova, T., Murashko, P., Prozorkevich, D., Konstantin, Byrkjedal, I., Langhelle Drevetnyak, and G., Smirnov, O., 2011, Atlas of the Barents Sea fishes: IMR/PINRO Joint Report Series 1-2011, ISSN 1502-8828, 274 p.
7. Arctic Laboratories Limited, 1987, Beaufort ocean dumpsite characterization: Prepared for Environment Protection, Conservation and Protection, Environment Canada, Yellowknife, Northwest Territories, by Arctic Laboratories Limited and LGL Limited.
8. Froese, R., and Pauly, D., eds., 2012, FishBase—Global information system on fishes: FishBase database, accessed July 8, 2012, at <http://www.fishbase.org>.
9. Gaston, A.J., Cairns, D.K., Elliot, R.D., and Noble, D.G., 1985, A natural history of Digges Sound: Canadian Government Publishing Centre, Canada Communication Group, Canadian Wildlife Service report series, no. 46, 63 p.

Ribbed Sculpin (*Triglops pingelii*)

(Reinhardt, 1837)

Family Cottidae


Colloquial Name: None within U.S. Chukchi and Beaufort Seas.**Ecological Role:** Largely unknown. However, the Ribbed Sculpin is unlikely to represent a significant prey resource to higher level organisms and does not play a significant role in regional food webs.**Physical Description/Attributes:** Olive brown-back with vague blackish saddles, white belly, and dark spots or streaks on sides below lateral line. In males the dark streaks form a broken or continuous stripe. For specific diagnostic characteristics, see, *Fishes of Alaska* (Mecklenburg and others, 2002, p. 428) [1] Swim bladder: Absent [1]. Antifreeze glycoproteins in blood serum: Unknown.**Range:** U.S. Beaufort and Chukchi Seas. Elsewhere in Sea of Okhotsk, Bering Sea and Commander–Aleutian Islands chain and entire southeast coast [4]. Worldwide, circumpolar in Arctic Ocean; south to Sea of Japan, Puget Sound, Strait of Belle Isle, southern Greenland, Jan Mayen Island, Norway, and White Sea [2].**Relative Abundance:** Common in U.S. Chukchi Sea [1, 4–8] but uncommon in U.S. Beaufort Sea. Elsewhere, common in Canadian Beaufort Sea off Herschel Island [9] and from off western Kamchatka Peninsula, Russia, along Aleutian Islands, in central and eastern Bering Sea, and eastward to Kodiak Island region [10–12].Ribbed Sculpin (*Triglops pingelii*) 145 mm, U.S. Chukchi Sea. Photograph by C.W. Mecklenburg, Point Stephens Research.

Geographic distribution of Ribbed Sculpin (*Triglops pingelii*) within Arctic Outer Continental Shelf Planning Areas [3] based on review of published literature and specimens from historical and recent collections [1, 2, 4].


Depth Range: Documented in U.S. Chukchi Sea at 30–48 m [8]. Elsewhere, 4–930 m [13], typically less than 200 m [2].

Triglops pingelii
Ribbed Sculpin

Benthic distribution



Reproductive distribution



Benthic and reproductive distribution of Ribbed Sculpin (*Triglops pingelii*).



Habitats and Life History

Eggs—Size: 2–3 mm, red in color [14–16]. Time to hatching: Unknown. Habitat: Benthic [14, 15].


Larvae—Size at hatching: Unknown. Size at juvenile transformation: 35 mm TL [6]. Days to juvenile transformation: Unknown. Habitat: *Pelagic* [6].

Juveniles—Age and size: Unknown. Habitat: Benthic to epibenthic [11, 17].

Adults—Age and size at first maturity: 3–5 years at 7–9 cm [16]. Maximum age: 9 years [16]. Maximum size: 20.2 cm SL, about 23.3 cm TL; reported but not verifiable to 24.2 cm [1]. Females grow larger and are heavier at length [18]. Habitat: Benthic to epibenthic [11, 17].

Substrate—Soft sea floors and rocky bottoms [8, 17, 19].

Physical/chemical—Temperature: -1.5–10.5 °C [1, 8, 17, 19]. Salinity: Mainly marine [8, 15], also taken in brackish waters [20].



Behavior

Diel—Juveniles and adults have been captured in midwaters at night [17].

Seasonal—Unknown.

Reproductive—Unknown.

Schooling—Unknown.

Feeding—Fish have been observed feeding as much as 1 m above the bottom [19].



Populations or Stocks

There have been no studies.



Reproduction

Mode—Unknown.

Spawning season—In autumn off Kodiak Island, Alaska [21, 22].


Fecundity—About 300–450 eggs [16].



Food and Feeding

Food items—In southeastern Beaufort Sea and northwest Atlantic Ocean, primarily planktonic or epibenthic organisms such as amphipods, mysids, copepods, larval ascidians, euphausiids, and arrow worms [23].


Trophic level—3.41 standard error 0.51 [24].



Biological Interactions

Predators—Ringed seals and probably bearded seals in Canadian Arctic Ocean [25–27] as well as Thick-billed Murres during summer [28]. Great and Plain sculpins, Pacific Cod, Pacific Halibut, Pigeon Guillemots, Tufted Puffins, and Thick-billed Murres in Bering Sea, Gulf of Alaska, and off British Columbia, Canada and Kamchatka Peninsula, Russia [29–34].

Competitors—Presumably a wide range of other zoobenthos feeders such as Arctic Cod, Walleye Pollock, poachers, eelpouts, and other sculpins.



Resilience

Medium, minimum population doubling time: 1.4–4.4 years (Preliminary *K* or Fecundity) [24].




Traditional and Cultural Importance

None reported.




Commercial Fisheries

Currently, Ribbed Sculpin are not commercially harvested.



Potential Effects of Climate Change

The Ribbed Sculpin reproduces in Arctic and Boreal waters [2], so it is difficult to predict how climate warming might affect its distribution or abundance. A trend of increasing abundance with latitudinal change is hypothesized.



Areas for Future Research [B]

Little is known about the biology and ecology of this species from the region. Research needs include: (1) depth and location of pelagic larvae, (2) depth, location, and timing of young-of-the-year benthic recruitment, (3) preferred depth ranges for juveniles and adults, (4) spawning season, (5) seasonal and ontogenetic movements, (6) population studies, (7) prey, and (8) predators.

References Cited

- Andriashev, A.P., 1954, Fishes of the northern seas of the U.S.S.R.—Keys to the fauna of the U.S.S.R.: Academy of Sciences of the U.S.S.R., Zoological Institute, no. 53, 566 p. [In Russian, translation by Israel Program for Scientific Translation, Jerusalem, 1964, 617 p., available from U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia.] [15]
- Fechhelm, R.G., Craig, P.C., Baker, J.S., and Gallaway, B.J., 1984, Fish distribution and use of nearshore waters in the northeastern Chukchi Sea: LGL Ecological Research Associates, Inc., 190 p. [6]
- Harris, C.K., and Hartt, A.C., 1977, Assessment of pelagic and nearshore fish in three bays on the east and south coasts of Kodiak Island, Alaska: Seattle, University of Washington, Fisheries Research Institute, FRI-UW-7719. [17]
- Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2002, Fishes of Alaska: Bethesda, Maryland, American Fisheries Society, 1,116 p. [1]
- Mecklenburg, C.W., Stein, D.L., Sheiko, B.A., Chernova, N.V., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Holladay, B.A., 2007, Russian–American long-term census of the Arctic—Benthic fishes trawled in the Chukchi Sea and Bering Strait, August 2004: *Northwestern Naturalist*, v. 88, no. 3, p. 168–187. [8]

Bibliography

1. Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2002, Fishes of Alaska: Bethesda, Maryland, American Fisheries Society, 1,116 p.
2. Mecklenburg, C.W., Møller, P.R., and Steinke, D., 2011, Biodiversity of Arctic marine fishes—Taxonomy and zoogeography: *Marine Biodiversity*, v. 41, no. 1, p. 109–140, Online Resource 1.
3. Minerals Management Service, 2008, Beaufort Sea and Chukchi Sea planning areas—Oil and Gas Lease Sales 209, 212, 217, and 221: U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service Alaska OCS Region, OCS EIS/EA, MMS 2008-0055, 538 p.
4. Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., Sheiko, B.A., and Steinke, D., 2016, Pacific Arctic marine fishes: Akureyri, Iceland, Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna, Monitoring Series Report No. 23, 406 p., accessed May 10, 2016, at <http://caff.is/monitoring-series/370-pacific-arcticmarine-fishes>.
5. Alverson, D.L., and Wilimovsky, N.J., 1966, Fishery investigations of the southeastern Chukchi Sea, *in* Wilimovsky, N.J., and Wolfe, J.N., eds., Environment of the Cape Thompson region, Alaska: Oak Ridge, Tennessee, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Division of Technical Information, p. 843–860.
6. Fechhelm, R.G., Craig, P.C., Baker, J.S., and Gallaway, B.J., 1984, Fish distribution and use of nearshore waters in the northeastern Chukchi Sea: LGL Ecological Research Associates, Inc., Outer Continental Shelf Environmental Assessment Program, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, OMPA/OCSEAP, Final Report, 190 p.
7. Barber, W.E., Smith, R.L., Vallarino, M., and Meyer, R.M., 1997, Demersal fish assemblages of the northeastern Chukchi Sea, Alaska: *Fishery Bulletin*, v. 95, no. 2, p. 195–209.
8. Mecklenburg, C.W., Stein, D.L., Sheiko, B.A., Chernova, N.V., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Holladay, B.A., 2007, Russian–American long-term census of the Arctic—Benthic fishes trawled in the Chukchi Sea and Bering Strait, August 2004: *Northwestern Naturalist*, v. 88, no. 3, p. 168–187.
9. McAllister, D.E., 1963, Fishes of the 1960 “Salvelinus” program from western Arctic Canada: *National Museum of Canada Bulletin*, no. 185, p. 17–39.
10. Tokranov, A.M., 1981, Distribution of sculpins (Pisces, Cottidae) on the west Kamchatka shelf in summer: *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal*, v. 60, no. 2, p. 229–237.
11. Allen, M.J., and Smith, G.B., 1988, Atlas and zoogeography of common fishes in the Bering Sea and northeastern Pacific: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Technical Report NMFS 66, 151 p.

12. Hoff, G.R., 2006, Biodiversity as an index of regime shift in the eastern Bering Sea: *Fishery Bulletin*, v. 104, no. 2, p. 226–237.
13. Coad, B.W., Waszczuk, H., and Labignan, I., 1995, *Encyclopedia of Canadian fishes*: Canadian Museum of Nature and Canadian Sportfishing Productions, Inc., 928 p.
14. Washington, B.B., Moser, H.G., Laroche, W.A., and Richards, W.J., 1984, Scorpaeniformes—Development, in Moser, H.G., and others, eds., *Ontogeny and systematics of fishes*: Gainesville, Florida, American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists, Special Publication 1, 28 p.
15. Andriashev, A.P., 1954, *Fishes of the northern seas of the U.S.S.R.—Keys to the fauna of the U.S.S.R.*: Academy of Sciences of the U.S.S.R., Zoological Institute, no. 53, 566 p. [In Russian, translation by Israel Program for Scientific Translation, Jerusalem, 1964, 617 p., available from U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia.]
16. Wienerroither, R., Johannesen, E., Langøy, H., Børve Eriksen, K., de Lange Wenneck, T., Høines, Å., Bjelland, O., Aglen, A., Prokhorova, T., Murashko, P., Prozorkevich, D., Konstantin, Byrkjedal, I., Langhelle Drevetnyak, and G., Smirnov, O., 2011, *Atlas of the Barents Sea fishes*: IMR/PINRO Joint Report Series 1-2011, ISSN 1502-8828, 274 p.
17. Harris, C.K., and Hartt, A.C., 1977, *Assessment of pelagic and nearshore fish in three bays on the east and south coasts of Kodiak Island, Alaska*: Seattle, University of Washington, Fisheries Research Institute, FRI-UW-7719.
18. Tokranov, A.M., 1995, The size and sex structure of sculpins of the genus *Triglops* (Cottidae) in the coastal waters of Kamchatka: *Journal of Ichthyology*, v. 35, no. 4, p. 140–144.
19. Green, J.M., and Steele, D.H., 1975, Observations on marine life beneath sea ice, Resolute Bay, N.W.T., in *Proceedings of the Circumpolar Conference on Northern Ecology*, September 15–18, 1975: Ottawa, National Research Council of Canada, p. II-77–II-86.
20. Abookire, A.A., Piatt, J.F., and Robards, M.D., 2000, Nearshore fish distributions in an Alaska estuary in relation to stratification, temperature and salinity: *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, v. 51, no. 1, p. 45–59.
21. Blackburn, J.E., and Jackson, P.B., 1982, Seasonal composition and abundance of juvenile and adult marine finfish and crab species in the nearshore zone of Kodiak Island's eastside during April 1978 through March 1979: *Outer Continental Shelf Environmental Assessment Program, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Final Report, Research Unit 552*, p. 377–570.
22. Rose, G.A., 2005, On distributional responses of North Atlantic fish to climate change: *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, v. 62, no. 7, p. 1,360–1,374.
23. Atkinson, E.G., and Percy, J.A., 1992, Diet comparison among demersal marine fish from the Canadian Arctic: *Polar Biology*, v. 11, no. 8, p. 567–573.
24. Froese, R., and Pauly, D., eds., 2012, *FishBase—Global information system on fishes*: FishBase database, accessed July 8, 2012, at <http://www.fishbase.org>.
25. Dunbar, M.J., and Hildebrand, H.H., 1952, Contributions to the study of the fishes of Ungava Bay: *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada*, v. 9, no. 2, p. 83–126.
26. McLaren, I.A., 1958, The biology of the ringed seal (*Phoca hispida* Schreber) in the eastern Canadian Arctic: *Fisheries Research Board of Canada, Bulletin No. 118*, 97 p.
27. Finley, K.J., and Evans, C.R., 1983, Summer diet of the bearded seal (*Erignathus barbatus*) in the Canadian High Arctic: *Arctic*, v. 36, no. 1, p. 82–89.
28. Gaston, A.J., Cairns, D.K., Elliot, R.D., and Noble, D.G., 1985, *A natural history of Digges Sound*: Canadian Government Publishing Centre, Canada Communication Group, Canadian Wildlife Service report series, no. 46, 63 p.
29. Tokranov, A.M., 1981, Rate of sexual maturation and fecundity of the staghorn sculpin, *Gymnocanthus detrisus* (Cottidae), off the east coast of Kamchatka: *Journal of Ichthyology*, v. 21, no. 1, p. 76–82.
30. Drent, R.H., 1965, Breeding biology of the pigeon guillemot, *Cephus columba*: *Ardea*, v. 53, nos. 3–4, p. 99–159.

31. Hunter, M.A., 1979, Food resource partitioning among demersal fishes in the vicinity of Kodiak Island, Alaska: Seattle, University of Washington, Master's thesis, 120 p.
32. Hunt, G.L., Burgeson, B., and Sanger, G.A., 1981, Feeding ecology of seabirds of the Eastern Bering Sea, *in* Hood, D.W., and Calder, J.A., eds., The Eastern Bering Sea Shelf—Oceanography and Resources: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, p. 629–641.
33. Hatch, S.A., and Sanger, G.A., 1992, Puffins as samplers of juvenile pollock and other forage fish in the Gulf of Alaska: Marine Ecology Progress Series, v. 80, p. 1–14.
34. Yang, M.-S., 1996, Diets of the important groundfishes in the Aleutian Islands in summer 1991: Seattle, Washington, U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-60, 105 p.

Crested Sculpin to Leatherfin Lump sucker

Crested Sculpin (*Blepsias bilobus*)

Cuvier, 1829

Family Hemitripterae

Note: Except for the geographic range data, all information is from areas outside of the study area.

Colloquial Name: None within U.S. Chukchi and Beaufort Seas.

Ecological Role: Unknown, but given what is known about the species distribution and abundance, likely to be minor with respect to marine ecosystem function in the Chukchi Sea.

Physical Description/Attributes: Compressed body densely covered in prickles; orange-brown to olive green with darker blotches dorsally, or white with olive to black mottling. For specific diagnostic characteristics, see *Fishes of Alaska* (Meckenburg and others, 2002, p. 509) [1]. Swim bladder: Absent [2]. Antifreeze glycoproteins in blood serum: Unknown.

Range: U.S. Chukchi Sea [3]. Elsewhere in Alaska, Bering Sea, and Aleutian Islands from Amchitka Island along coasts to southern British Columbia near Port Hardy. Worldwide, Seas of Okhotsk and Japan [3].



Crested Sculpin (*Blepsias bilobus*), 128 mm TL, eastern Chukchi Sea, 2007. Photograph by C.W. Meckenburg, Point Stephens Research.


Relative Abundance: *Uncommon in U.S. Chukchi Sea.* [6]. Elsewhere, common in Sea of Japan and eastern Bering Sea north to about St. Lawrence Island [3, 7, 8].




Geographic distribution of Crested Sculpin (*Blepsias bilobus*) within Arctic Outer Continental Shelf Planning Areas [4] based on review of published literature and specimens from historical and recent collections [3, 5].

Depth Range: 4–250 m [9, 15], typically less than 120 m [1]. Juveniles collected at the shallower depths and near the surface [9].

Blepsias bilobus
Crested Sculpin



Benthic and reproductive distribution of Crested Sculpin (*Blepsias bilobus*).



Habitats and Life History

Eggs—Size: Unknown. Time to hatching: Unknown. Habitat: Likely benthic [10].


Larvae—Size at hatching: Unknown. Size at juvenile transformation: Unknown. Days to juvenile transformation: Unknown. Habitat: Pelagic [10].

Juveniles—Age and size: Unknown. Habitat: Benthic, nearshore to upper slope [1]; over bare bottoms, bedrock, and among kelp and eelgrass [11].

Adults—Age and size at first maturity: Unknown. Maximum age: Unknown. Maximum size: 27 cm TL [1]. Habitat: Benthic [1–3], nearshore to upper slope [1]; over bare bottoms, bedrock, and among kelp and eelgrass [11]

Substrate—At least bedrock [11].

Physical/chemical—Temperature: -1.4–11.4 °C [15]. Salinity: Marine.



Behavior

Diel—Unknown.

Seasonal—Unknown.

Reproductive—Unknown.

Schooling—Unknown.

Feeding—Unknown.



Populations or Stocks

There have been no studies.



Reproduction

Mode—Probably oviparous [10].

Spawning season—Unknown.


Fecundity—Unknown.



Food and Feeding

Food items—Amphipods and Walleye Pollock (*Gadus chalcogrammus*) in Russia [13]. Small invertebrates in general for members of the Hemitripterae family [2].


Trophic level—4.13 standard error ± 0.74 [13].



Biological Interactions

Predators—Great Sculpin [14].

Competitors—Likely other sculpins, as well as flatfishes, gadids, and eelpouts.



Resilience

Unknown.




Traditional and Cultural Importance

None reported.




Commercial Fisheries

Currently, Crested Sculpin are not commercially harvested.



Potential Effects of Climate Change

This Boreal Pacific species could be expected to increase in abundance and become more widespread in the Chukchi Sea, and perhaps to expand its distribution to the Beaufort Sea.



Areas for Future Research [B]

Little is known about the ecology and life history of this species. Research needs include: (1) depth and location of pelagic larvae, (2) depth, location, and timing of young-of-the-year benthic recruitment, (3) preferred depth ranges for juveniles and adults, (4) spawning season, (5) seasonal and ontogenetic movements, (6) population studies, (7) prey, and (8) predators.

References Cited

- Johnson, S.W., Neff, A.D., and Thedinga, J.F., 2005, An atlas on the distribution and habitat of common fishes in shallow nearshore waters of southeastern Alaska: Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-157, 98 p. [11]
- Love, M.S., Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2005, Resource inventory of marine and estuarine fishes of the West Coast and Alaska—A checklist of North Pacific and Arctic Ocean species from Baja California to the Alaska-Yukon border: Seattle, Washington, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division, OCS Study MMS 2005-030 and USGS/NBII 2005-001, 276 p. [9]

Mecklenburg, C.W., 2003, Family Hemitripterae Gill 1872—Sea ravens or sailfin sculpins: California Academy of Sciences Annotated Checklists of Fishes no. 5, 6 p. [2]

Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2002, Fishes of Alaska: Bethesda, Maryland, American Fisheries Society, 1,116 p. [1]

Mecklenburg, C.W., Møller, P.R., and Steinke, D., 2011, Biodiversity of Arctic marine fishes—Taxonomy and zoogeography: Marine Biodiversity, v. 41, no. 1, p. 109–140, Online Resource 1. [3]

Bibliography

1. Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2002, Fishes of Alaska: Bethesda, Maryland, American Fisheries Society, 1,116 p.
2. Mecklenburg, C.W., 2003, Family Hemitripterae Gill 1872—Sea ravens or sailfin sculpins: California Academy of Sciences Annotated Checklists of Fishes no. 5, 6 p.
3. Mecklenburg, C.W., Møller, P.R., and Steinke, D., 2011, Biodiversity of Arctic marine fishes—Taxonomy and zoogeography: Marine Biodiversity, v. 41, no. 1, p. 109–140, Online Resource 1.
4. Minerals Management Service, 2008, Beaufort Sea and Chukchi Sea planning areas—Oil and Gas Lease Sales 209, 212, 217, and 221: U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service Alaska OCS Region, OCS EIS/EA, MMS 2008-0055, 538 p.
5. Mecklenburg, C.W., and Mecklenburg, T.A., 2009, Arctic marine fish museum specimens, 2nd ed., Metadata report and database submitted to ArcOD, Institute of Marine Science: University of Alaska, Fairbanks, by Point Stephens Research, metadata report accessed August 8, 2012, at http://www.arcodiv.org/Database/Fish_datasets.html.
6. Barber, W.E., Smith, R.L., Vallarino, M., and Meyer, R.M., 1997, Demersal fish assemblages of the northeastern Chukchi Sea, Alaska: Fishery Bulletin, v. 95, no. 2, p. 195–209.
7. Hoff, G.R., 2006, Biodiversity as an index of regime shift in the eastern Bering Sea: Fishery Bulletin, v. 104, no. 2, p. 226–237.
8. Sokolovskaya, T.G., Sokolovskii, A.S., and Sobolevskii, E.I., 1998, A list of fishes of Peter the Great Bay (the Sea of Japan): Journal of Ichthyology, v. 38, no. 1, p. 1–11.
9. Love, M.S., Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2005, Resource inventory of marine and estuarine fishes of the West Coast and Alaska—A checklist of North Pacific and Arctic Ocean species from Baja California to the Alaska-Yukon border: Seattle, Washington, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division, OCS Study MMS 2005-030 and USGS/NBII 2005-001, 276 p.
10. Matarese, A.C., Kendall, J., Arthur W., Blood, D.M., and Vinter, B.M., 1989, Laboratory guide to early life history stages of northeast Pacific fishes: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service Technical Report NMFS 80, 652 p.
11. Johnson, S.W., Neff, A.D., and Thedinga, J.F., 2005, An atlas on the distribution and habitat of common fishes in shallow nearshore waters of southeastern Alaska: Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-157, 98 p.
12. Tokranov, A.M., and Polutov, V.I., 1984, Fish distribution in the Kronitsky Bay in relation to abiotic factors: Zoologicheskii Zhurnal, v. 63, p. 1,363–1,373.
13. Froese, R., and Pauly, D., eds., 2012, FishBase—World Wide Web electronic publication—Global information system on fishes: FishBase, accessed July 8, 2012, at <http://www.fishbase.org>.
14. Tokranov, A.M., 1981, Distribution of sculpins (Pisces, Cottidae) on the west Kamchatka shelf in summer: Zoologicheskii Zhurnal, v. 60, no. 2, p. 229–237.
15. Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., Sheiko, B.A., and Steinke, D., 2016, Pacific Arctic marine fishes: Akureyri, Iceland, Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna, Monitoring Series Report No. 23, 406 p., accessed May 10, 2016, at <http://caff.is/monitoring-series/370-pacific-arcticmarine-fishes>.

Eyeshade Sculpin (*Nautichthys pribilovius*)


(Jordan & Gilbert, 1898)

Family Hemitripterae**Colloquial Name:** *None within U.S. Chukchi and Beaufort Seas.***Ecological Role:** Unlikely to be of significant importance in regional foods webs.**Physical Description/Attributes:** Brown to gray-brown body with vague dark blotches and covered with prickles that extend onto dorsal and pectoral fins; black bar from eye diagonally downward on cheek. For specific diagnostic characteristics, see *Fishes of Alaska* (Mecklenburg and others, 2002, p. 512) [1]. Swim bladder: Absent [2]. Antifreeze glycoproteins in blood serum: Unknown.**Range:** *U.S. Chukchi Sea and western U.S. Beaufort Sea* [3, 4]. Elsewhere in Alaska, in Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands from Attu Island to southeastern Alaska at Steamer Bay. Worldwide, Gulf of Anadyr to Commander Islands and to Seas of Okhotsk and Japan [1, 3].**Relative Abundance:** *Common in eastern Chukchi Sea* [4, 7] and *more common in Bering Strait and southeastern Chukchi Sea* [6] and eastern Bering Sea [8] but rare in Sea of Japan [9] and U.S. Beaufort Sea.Eyeshade Sculpin (*Nautichthys pribilovius*), 109 mm TL, Bering Strait, 2007. Photograph by C.W. Mecklenburg, Point Stephens Research.


Geographic distribution of Eyeshade Sculpin (*Nautichthys pribilovius*) within Arctic Outer Continental Shelf Planning Areas [5] based on review of published literature and specimens from historical and recent collections [1, 3, 4, 6].

Depth Range: 11 m [4] to 422 m, typically less than 135 m in Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska [1]. Documented at depths of 11–53 m [4] in the U.S. Chukchi Sea and 24–53 m in the U.S. Beaufort Sea [4].

Nautichthys pribilovius
Eyeshade Sculpin



Benthic and reproductive distribution of Eyeshade Sculpin (*Nautichthys pribilovius*).



Habitats and Life History

Eggs—Size: 2.5–2.7 mm [10]. Time to hatching: Unknown. Habitat: Likely benthic based on life history of the Sailfin Sculpin (*Nautichthys oculofasciatus*) [11].


Larvae—Size at hatching: Unknown. Size at juvenile transformation: Unknown. Days to juvenile transformation: Unknown. Habitat: Likely pelagic based on life history of Sailfin Sculpin [11].

Juveniles—Age and size: Unknown. Habitat: Benthic [1].

Adults—Age and size at first maturity: Unknown. Maximum Age: Unknown. Maximum size: As long as 10.9 cm TL [1]. Habitat: Benthic [1].

Substrate—Shell hash, gravel, sand, mud and rock in U.S. Chukchi Sea [6].

Physical/chemical—Temperature: -1.7–11.4 °C [4, 6, 12]. Prefers temperatures greater than 0 °C [10]. Salinity: Marine to slightly estuarine [13].



Behavior

Diel—Unknown.

Seasonal—Unknown.

Reproductive—Unknown.

Schooling—Unknown.

Feeding—Unknown.



Populations or Stocks

There have been no studies.



Reproduction

Mode—Oviparous [10].

Spawning season—Unknown.


Fecundity—50 eggs [10].



Food and Feeding

Food items—Unknown. Small invertebrates in general for members of the Hemitripterae family [2].


Trophic level—3.62 standard error 0.65 [14].



Biological Interactions


Predators—Unknown.

Competitors—Unknown.



Resilience

Unknown. No data.




Traditional and Cultural Importance

None reported.



Commercial Fisheries

Currently, Eyeshade Sculpin are not commercially harvested.



Potential Effects of Climate Change

A predominantly Boreal Pacific species [3], Eyeshade Sculpin are apt to become more abundant in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas as water temperatures increase. A range expansion in the Beaufort Sea also is likely.



Areas for Future Research [B]

Little is known about the biology and ecology of this species from the region. Research needs include: (1) depth and location of pelagic larvae, (2) depth, location, and timing of young-of-the-year Demersal recruitment, (3) preferred depth ranges for juveniles and adults, (4) spawning season, (5) seasonal and ontogenetic movements, (6) population studies, (7) prey, and (8) predators.

References Cited

Andriashev, A.P., 1954, Fishes of the northern seas of the U.S.S.R.—Keys to the fauna of the U.S.S.R.: Academy of Sciences of the U.S.S.R., Zoological Institute, no. 53, 566 p. [In Russian, translation by Israel Program for Scientific Translation, Jerusalem, 1964, 617 p., available from U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia] [10]

- Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2002, *Fishes of Alaska*: Bethesda, Maryland, American Fisheries Society, 1,116 p. [1]
- Mecklenburg, C.W., Stein, D.L., Sheiko, B.A., Chernova, N.V., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Holladay, B.A., 2007, Russian–American long-term census of the Arctic—Benthic fishes trawled in the Chukchi Sea and Bering Strait, August 2004: *Northwestern Naturalist*, v. 88, no. 3, p. 168–187. [6]
- Mecklenburg, C.W., Møller, P.R., and Steinke, D., 2011, Biodiversity of Arctic marine fishes—Taxonomy and zoogeography: *Marine Biodiversity*, v. 41, no. 1, p. 109–140 and Online Resource 1. [3]

Bibliography

1. Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2002, *Fishes of Alaska*: Bethesda, Maryland, American Fisheries Society, 1,116 p.
2. Mecklenburg, C.W., 2003, Family Hemitripterae Gill 1872—Sea ravens or sailfin sculpins: *California Academy of Sciences Annotated Checklists of Fishes* no. 5, 6 p.
3. Mecklenburg, C.W., Møller, P.R., and Steinke, D., 2011, Biodiversity of Arctic marine fishes—Taxonomy and zoogeography: *Marine Biodiversity*, v. 41, no. 1, p. 109–140, Online Resource 1.
4. Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., Sheiko, B.A., and Steinke, D., 2016, Pacific Arctic marine fishes: Akureyri, Iceland, Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna, Monitoring Series Report No. 23, 406 p., accessed May 10, 2016, at <http://caff.is/monitoring-series/370-pacific-arcticmarine-fishes>.
5. Minerals Management Service, 2008, Beaufort Sea and Chukchi Sea planning areas—Oil and Gas Lease Sales 209, 212, 217, and 221: U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service Alaska OCS Region, OCS EIS/EA, MMS 2008-0055, 538 p.
6. Mecklenburg, C.W., Stein, D.L., Sheiko, B.A., Chernova, N.V., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Holladay, B.A., 2007, Russian–American long-term census of the Arctic—Benthic fishes trawled in the Chukchi Sea and Bering Strait, August 2004: *Northwestern Naturalist*, v. 88, no. 3, p. 168–187.
7. Barber, W.E., Smith, R.L., Vallarino, M., and Meyer, R.M., 1997, Demersal fish assemblages of the northeastern Chukchi Sea, Alaska: *Fishery Bulletin*, v. 95, no. 2, p. 195–209.
8. Hoff, G.R., 2006, Biodiversity as an index of regime shift in the eastern Bering Sea: *Fishery Bulletin*, v. 104, no. 2, p. 226–237.
9. Sokolovskaya, T.G., Sokolovskii, A.S., and Sobolevskii, E.I., 1998, A list of fishes of Peter the Great Bay (the Sea of Japan): *Journal of Ichthyology*, v. 38, no. 1, p. 1–11.
10. Andriashev, A.P., 1954, *Fishes of the northern seas of the U.S.S.R.—Keys to the fauna of the U.S.S.R.*: Academy of Sciences of the U.S.S.R., Zoological Institute, no. 53, 566 p. [In Russian, translation by Israel Program for Scientific Translation, Jerusalem, 1964, 617 p., available from U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia]
11. Matarese, A.C., Kendall, J., Arthur W., Blood, D.M., and Vinter, B.M., 1989, *Laboratory guide to early life history stages of northeast Pacific fishes*: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service Technical Report NMFS 80, 652 p.
12. Cui, X., Grebmeier, J.M., Cooper, L.W., Lovvorn, J.R., North, C.A., Seaver, W.L., and Kolts, J.M., 2009, Spatial distributions of groundfish in the northern Bering Sea in relation to environmental variation: *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, v. 393, p. 147–160.
13. Abookire, A.A., Piatt, J.F., and Robards, M.D., 2000, Nearshore fish distributions in an Alaska estuary in relation to stratification, temperature and salinity: *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, v. 51, no. 1, p. 45–59.
14. Froese, R., and Pauly, D., eds., 2012, *FishBase—Global information system on fishes*: FishBase database, accessed July 8, 2012, at <http://www.fishbase.org>.

Polar Sculpin (*Cottunculus microps*)

Collett, 1875

Family Psychrolutidae

Note on taxonomy: Based on recent taxonomic revision *Cottunculus sadko* (Essipov, 1937) is treated as a synonym of *C. microps* [1, 2]. Data on fish originally identified as *C. sadko* are included here.

Note: Except for geographic range data, all information is from areas outside of the study area.

Colloquial Name: None within U.S. Chukchi and Beaufort Seas.

Ecological Role: Minor in the U.S. Beaufort Sea.

Physical Description/Attributes: Tadpole shaped body with moveable skin over a clear, gelatinous layer. Body is greyish with three vague, dark bands. For specific diagnostic characteristics, see *Fishes of Alaska* (Mecklenburg and others, 2002, p. 518 [as *C. sadko*]) [3]. Swim bladder: Absent [3]. Antifreeze glycoproteins in blood serum: Unknown.

Range: One record from continental slope off U.S. Beaufort Sea. One record outside the U.S. 200-mile limit north of the eastern Chukchi Sea. North to about 82°N [4]; circumpolar; Kara Sea slope in Voronin Trough, Laptev Sea slope off Bolshevik Island, Faroe Trough, Nansen Rise off Spitsbergen, western Barents Sea, slope off Franz Josef Land; and south to Gulf of St. Lawrence and New Jersey, English Channel, and North Sea [4].


Relative Abundance: Rare in U.S. Beaufort Sea [4]. Common eastward from Hudson Strait and Baffin Bay to Greenland and the Barents Sea [1, 7, 8].



Polar Sculpin (*Cottunculus microps*) 135 mm TL, Chukchi Borderland, 2009. Photograph by C.W. Mecklenburg, Point Stephens Research.



Base modified from USGS and other digital data. U.S.-Russia Maritime Boundary follows the EEZ/200-mile limit line, western edge. Coordinate reference system: projection, Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area; latitude of origin, 75.0°; horizontal datum, North American Datum of 1983.




Geographic distribution of Polar Sculpin (*Cottunculus microps*) within Arctic Outer Continental Shelf Planning Areas [5] in the study area based on review of published literature and specimens from historical and recent collections [4, 6].


Depth Range: Upper continental slopes at 159–2,476 m [6], mainly 170–400 m in Barents Sea [1].

Cottunculus microps
Polar Sculpin


Benthic distribution



Reproductive distribution



Benthic and reproductive distribution of Polar Sculpin (*Cottunculus microps*).



Habitats and Life History

Eggs—Size: 3–5 mm [1]. Time to hatching: Unknown. Habitat: Benthic [9].

Larvae—Size at hatching: Size at juvenile transformation: Days to juvenile transformation: Habitat: Unknown.


Juveniles—Age and size: Unknown. Habitat: Benthic, deep troughs, and upper parts of continental slope [3].

Adults—Age and size at first maturity: Unknown. Maximum age: 8–10 years [1]. Maximum size: As long as 33 cm TL (mainly 6–16 cm) and 0.7 kg [1]. Habitat: Benthic, deep troughs, and upper parts of continental slope [3].

Substrate—Mud, soft bottoms [1, 3].

Physical/chemical—Temperature: -0.4–1.9 °C in Baffin Bay [10]. Typically temperatures greater than 0 °C [1].

Salinity: Prefers high salinity (34.5–35 ppt) [1].



Behavior

Diel—Unknown.

Seasonal—Unknown.

Reproductive—Adults of this family typically guard their eggs [7].

Schooling—Unknown. **Feeding**—Unknown.



Populations or Stocks

There have been no studies.



Reproduction

Mode—Oviparous [7].

Spawning season—Summer–autumn in Barents Sea [1].


Fecundity—125–435 eggs [1].



Food and Feeding

Food items—Benthic invertebrates such as pantopods, amphipods, gammarids, polychaetes, mysids, mollusks, etc. [1, 11].


Trophic level—3.41 standard error 0.39 [12].



Biological Interactions

Predators—Greenland halibut in Barents Sea [13].

Competitors—Juveniles would likely be consumed by a range of benthic feeders, such as flatfishes, cods, sculpins, and poachers.



Resilience

Low, minimum population doubling time: 4.5–14 years (Fecundity = 66) [12].




Traditional and Cultural Importance

None reported.



Commercial Fisheries

Currently, Polar Sculpin are not commercially harvested.



Potential Effects of Climate Change

Unknown.



Areas for Future Research [B]

Little is known about the ecology and life history of this species. Research needs include: (1) depth and location of pelagic larvae, (2) depth, location, and timing of young-of-the-year benthic recruitment, (3) preferred depth ranges for juveniles and adults, (4) spawning season, (5) seasonal and ontogenetic movements, (6) population studies, (7) prey, and (8) predators.

References Cited

- Love, M.S., 2011, Certainly more than you wanted to know about the fishes of the Pacific Coast: Santa Barbara, California, Really Big Press, 649 p. [9]
- Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2002, Fishes of Alaska: Bethesda, Maryland, American Fisheries Society, 1,116 p. [3]
- Mecklenburg, C.W., Møller, P.R., and Steinke, D., 2011, Biodiversity of Arctic marine fishes—Taxonomy and zoogeography: Marine Biodiversity, v. 41, no. 1, p. 109–140, Online Resource 1. [4]
- Wienerroither, R., Johannesen, E., Langøy, H., Børve Eriksen, K., de Lange Wenneck, T., Høines, Å., Bjelland, O., Aglen, A., Prokhorova, T., Murashko, P., Prozorkevich, D., Konstantin, Byrkjedal, I., Langhelle Drevetnyak, G., and Smirnov, O., 2011, Atlas of the Barents Sea fishes: IMR/PINRO Joint Report Series 1-2011, ISSN 1502-8828, 274 p. [1]

Bibliography

1. Wienerroither, R., Johannesen, E., Langøy, H., Børve Eriksen, K., de Lange Wenneck, T., Høines, Å., Bjelland, O., Aglen, A., Prokhorova, T., Murashko, P., Prozorkevich, D., Konstantin, Byrkjedal, I., Langhelle Drevetnyak, and G., Smirnov, O., 2011, Atlas of the Barents Sea fishes: IMR/PINRO Joint Report Series 1-2011, ISSN 1502-8828, 274 p.
2. Byrkjedal, I., Hadler-Jacobsen, S., Rees, D., and Orlov, A., 2013, Molecular and morphological variation in ‘saddled’ fathead sculpins (Psychrolutidae—*Cottunculus*) of the northeast Atlantic: *Journal of Fish Biology*, v. 85, no. 3, p. 821–837.
3. Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2002, *Fishes of Alaska*: Bethesda, Maryland, American Fisheries Society, 1,116 p.
4. Mecklenburg, C.W., Møller, P.R., and Steinke, D., 2011, Biodiversity of Arctic marine fishes—Taxonomy and zoogeography: *Marine Biodiversity*, v. 41, no. 1, p. 109–140, Online Resource 1.
5. Minerals Management Service, 2008, Beaufort Sea and Chukchi Sea planning areas—Oil and Gas Lease Sales 209, 212, 217, and 221: U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service Alaska OCS Region, OCS EIS/EA, MMS 2008-0055, 538 p.
6. Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., Sheiko, B.A., and Steinke, D., 2016, Pacific Arctic marine fishes: Akureyri, Iceland, Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna, Monitoring Series Report No. 23, 406 p., accessed May 10, 2016, at <http://caff.is/monitoring-series/370-pacific-arcticmarine-fishes>.
7. Møller, P.R., Nielsen, J.G., Knudsen, S.W., Poulsen, J.Y., Sünksen, K., and Jørgensen, O.A., 2010, A checklist of the fish fauna of Greenland waters: *Zootaxa*, v. 2378, p. 1–84.
8. Coad, B.W., and Reist, J.D., 2004, Annotated list of the Arctic marine fishes of Canada: Canadian Manuscript Report of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, no. 2674, 112 p.
9. Love, M.S., 2011, *Certainly more than you wanted to know about the fishes of the Pacific Coast*: Santa Barbara, California, Really Big Press, 649 p.
10. Jørgensen, O.A., Hvingel, C., and Treble, M.A., 2011, Identification and mapping of bottom fish assemblages in northern Baffin Bay: *Journal of Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Science*, v. 43, p. 65–79.
11. Bjelland, O., Bergstad, O.A., Skjæraasen, J.E., and Meland, K., 2000, Trophic ecology of deep-water fishes associated with the continental slope of the eastern Norwegian Sea: *Sarsia*, v. 85, no. 2, p. 101–117.
12. Froese, R., and Pauly, D., eds., 2012, *FishBase—Global information system on fishes*: FishBase database, accessed July 8, 2012, at <http://www.fishbase.org>.
13. Hovde, S.C., Albert, O.T., and Nilssen, E.M., 2002, Spatial, seasonal and ontogenetic variation in diet of Northeast Arctic Greenland halibut (*Reinhardtius hippoglossoides*): *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, v. 59, no. 2, p. 421–437.

Smoothcheek Sculpin (*Eurymen gyrinus*)

Gilbert & Burke, 1912

Family Psychrolutidae

Colloquial Name: None within U.S. Chukchi and Beaufort Seas.

Ecological Role: Scarcity within U.S. Chukchi Sea waters and absence from the U.S. Beaufort Sea suggests a minor ecological role.

Physical Description/Attributes: Tadpole-shaped body with moveable skin over a clear, gelatinous layer. Color is highly variable according to habitat [1]. For specific diagnostic characteristics, see *Fishes of Alaska* (Mecklenburg and others, 2002, p. 517) [1]. Swim bladder: Absent [1]. Antifreeze glycoproteins in blood serum: Unknown.

Range: U.S. Chukchi Sea to 70°26'N, 164°38'W [2]. Elsewhere in Alaska, Bering Sea south to Aleutian Islands and to western Gulf of Alaska at Kodiak Island. Worldwide, Seas of Japan and Okhotsk and Pacific coast of Honshu, and off Japan to Commander Islands [1].

Relative Abundance: Rare in U.S. Chukchi Sea and absent from U.S. Beaufort Sea [1, 2, 4]; typically caught in shallow waters, but rarely sampled by research investigations. Rare in Sea of Japan [5].



Smoothcheek Sculpin (*Eurymen gyrinus*), 111 mm TL, northern Bering Sea, 2007. Photograph by C.W. Mecklenburg, Point Stephens Research.




Geographic distribution of Smoothcheek Sculpin (*Eurymen gyrinus*) within Arctic Outer Continental Shelf Planning Areas [3] based on review of published literature and specimens from historical and recent collections [1, 2, 4].


Depth Range: 2–174 m [2, 6], typically 40–100 m in the Pacific Ocean off the northern Kuril Islands, Russia [7]. *Found at depths of 17–44 m in the U.S. Chukchi Sea* [2].

Eurymen gyrinus
Smoothcheek Sculpin

Benthic distribution



Reproductive distribution



Benthic and reproductive distribution of Smoothcheek Sculpin (*Eurymen gyrinus*).



Habitats and Life History


Eggs—Size: Unknown. Time to hatching: Unknown. Habitat: Likely benthic, like the more well-known members of the family Psychrolutidae [8].

Larvae—Size at hatching: Unknown. Size at juvenile transformation: Unknown. Days to juvenile transformation: Unknown. Habitat: Unknown.

Juveniles—Age and size: Unknown. Habitat: Benthic [1]. Adults: Age and size at first maturity: Unknown. Maximum age: Unknown. Maximum size: As long as 38.8 cm TL [1]. Habitat: Benthic [1].

Substrate—Unknown.

Physical/chemical—Temperature: Unknown. Salinity: Marine [1].



Behavior

Diel—Unknown.

Seasonal—Unknown.

Reproductive—Adults of this family typically guard their eggs [8].

Schooling—Unknown.

Feeding—Unknown.



Populations or Stocks

There have been no studies.



Reproduction

Mode—Oviparous [8].

Spawning season—Unknown.


Fecundity—Unknown.



Food and Feeding

Food items—Unknown.


Trophic level—3.41 standard error 0.46 [9].



Biological Interactions


Predators—Unknown.

Competitors—Unknown.



Resilience

Low, minimum population doubling time: 4.5–14 years (Preliminary *K* or Fecundity) [9].




Traditional and Cultural Importance

None reported.




Commercial Fisheries

Currently, Smoothcheek Sculpin are not commercially harvested.



Potential Effects of Climate Change

It is likely that warming Arctic waters would allow this species to penetrate farther into the U.S. Chukchi Sea and perhaps into the U.S. Beaufort Sea.



Areas for Future Research [B]

Little is known about the ecology and life history of this species. Research needs include: (1) depth and location of pelagic larvae, (2) depth, location, and timing of young-of-the-year benthic recruitment, (3) preferred depth ranges for juveniles and adults, (4) spawning season, (5) seasonal and ontogenetic movements, (6) population studies, (7) prey, and (8) predators.

References Cited

- Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2002, *Fishes of Alaska*: Bethesda, Maryland, American Fisheries Society, 1,116 p. [1]
- Mecklenburg, C.W., Møller, P.R., and Steinke, D., 2011, Biodiversity of Arctic marine fishes—Taxonomy and zoogeography: *Marine Biodiversity*, v. 41, no. 1, p. 109–140, Online Resource 1. [4]

Bibliography

1. Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2002, *Fishes of Alaska*: Bethesda, Maryland: American Fisheries Society, 1,116 p.
2. Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., Sheiko, B.A., and Steinke, D., 2016, Pacific Arctic marine fishes: Akureyri, Iceland, Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna, Monitoring Series Report No. 23, 406 p., accessed May 10, 2016, at <http://caff.is/monitoring-series/370-pacific-arcticmarine-fishes>.
3. Minerals Management Service, 2008, Beaufort Sea and Chukchi Sea planning areas—Oil and Gas Lease Sales 209, 212, 217, and 221: U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service Alaska OCS Region, OCS EIS/EA, MMS 2008-0055, 538 p.
4. Mecklenburg, C.W., Møller, P.R., and Steinke, D., 2011, Biodiversity of Arctic marine fishes—Taxonomy and zoogeography: *Marine Biodiversity*, v. 41, no. 1, p. 109–140, Online Resource 1.
5. Sokolovskaya, T.G., Sokolovskii, A.S., and Sobolevskii, E.I., 1998, A list of fishes of Peter the Great Bay (the Sea of Japan): *Journal of Ichthyology*, v. 38, no. 1, p. 1–11.
6. Chereshev, I., Nazarkin, M.V., Skopets, M.B., Pitruk, D., Shestakov, A.V., Yabe, M., and others, 2001, Annotated list of fish-like vertebrates and fish in Tauisk Bay (northern part of the Sea of Okhotsk), in Andreev, A.V., and Bergmann, H.H., eds., Biodiversity and ecological status along the northern coast of the Sea of Okhotsk—A collection of study reports: Dalnauka Vladivostok, Russia, Institute of Biological Problems of the North, p. 64–86.
7. Fedorov, V.V., 2000, Species composition, distribution and habitation depths of the northern Kuril Islands fish and fish like species, in Koteneva, B.N., ed., Commercial and biological studies of fishes in the Pacific waters of the Kuril Islands and adjacent areas of the Okhotsk and Bering Seas in 1992–1998: Moscow, VNIRO Publishing, collected papers, p. 7–41. [In Russian.]
8. Love, M.S., 2011, *Certainly more than you wanted to know about the fishes of the Pacific Coast*: Santa Barbara, California, Really Big Press, 649 p.
9. Froese, R., and Pauly, D., eds., 2012, *FishBase—Global information system on fishes*: FishBase database, accessed July 8, 2012, at <http://www.fishbase.org>.

Alligatorfish (*Aspidophoroides monoptyerygius*)

(Bloch, 1786)

Family Agonidae

Note: *Except for physical description, relative abundance, and geographic range data, all information is from areas outside of the study area.*

Note on taxonomy: *The Alaskan population was described and named as a distinct species, *Aspidophoroides bartoni* (Gilbert, 1896), to which the common name Aleutian Alligatorfish has been applied [1]. Recent genetic and morphological data confirm that they are the same species [1, 2].*

Colloquial Name: *None within U.S. Chukchi and Beaufort Seas.*

Ecological Role: Current information about the occurrence of this fish is limited in the U.S. Chukchi and Beaufort Seas. It is unlikely to represent a significant prey resource in regional food webs.

Physical Description/Attributes: Brownish to olive green with a slender body covered with bony plates; faint dark bands on sides and back; and a white belly. For specific diagnostic characteristics, see *Fishes of Alaska* (Mecklenburg and others, 2002, p. 553) [1]. Swim bladder absent [3]. Antifreeze glycoproteins in blood serum: Unknown.

Range: *U.S. Chukchi Sea northward to Point Barrow and Beaufort Sea eastward to about 71°N, 151°W [2, 4]. Elsewhere in Alaska, in Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands, to Prince William Sound and Gulf of Alaska [1]. Worldwide, in western Pacific from Sea of Japan and Sea of Okhotsk to Gulf of Anadyr, and western Atlantic Ocean from northwestern Greenland to New Jersey [1, 2].*



Alligatorfish (*Aspidophoroides monoptyerygius*), 84 mm TL, Chukchi Sea, 2007. Photograph by C.W. Mecklenburg, Point Stephens Research.


Relative Abundance: Common in U.S. Chukchi Sea [2, 4, 6, 7]. In Alaska, common in eastern Bering Sea, and along the western end of the Alaska Peninsula [8–10]. Worldwide, common in nearshore waters off Kamchatka, Russia [11].




Geographic distribution of Alligatorfish (*Aspidophoroides monopterygius*) within Arctic Outer Continental Shelf Planning Areas [5] based on review of published literature and specimens from historical and recent collections [1, 2, 4].

Depth Range: Overall range is 8–337 m, but almost entirely less than 200 m [1]. Depth range of larvae is unknown. In general, poacher larvae are abundant in near-surface waters, and over continental shelf and upper slope [12–14]. Depth range of juveniles is unknown.

Aspidophoroides monopterygius
Alligatorfish



Benthic reproductive distribution of Alligatorfish (*Aspidophoroides monopterygius*).



Habitats and Life History

Eggs—Size: Unknown. Time to hatching: Unknown. Habitat: Demersal and adhesive (probably on sand and mud) [3].


Larvae—Size at hatching: Unknown. Size at juvenile transformation: 29.0 mm in western North Atlantic [15]. Days to juvenile transformation: Unknown. Habitat: Pelagic although distance from shore and depth in water column are unknown for this species; in general poacher larvae are abundant in near-surface waters, over continental shelf and shallow slope waters [12–14].

Juveniles—Age and size: Unknown. Habitat: Demersal [1, 3, 16], although fish to at least 4.1 cm TL are occasionally found in midwaters [17].

Adults—Age and size at first maturity: Unknown. Maximum age: At least 6 years. Females grow larger and may have a slightly longer life span [18]. Maximum size: 17.8 cm TL [1]. Habitat: Demersal [3, 16].

Substrate—Juveniles and adults live on sand and mud [1, 19].

Physical/chemical—Temperature: Between at least -1.7–12.2 °C. [19, 20]. Salinity: All life stages live in marine waters. [19–21].



Behavior

Diel—Unidentified poacher larvae have migrated into slightly deeper waters at night in southeastern Alaska [13].

Seasonal—Off Kamchatka, found in relatively shallow waters during summer and during winters in deeper waters on the edge of the continental shelf [11].

Reproductive—Unknown.

Schooling—Unlikely. Other poacher species are solitary [16, 22].

Feeding—Unknown.



Populations or Stocks

There have been no studies.



Reproduction

Mode—Separate sexes, oviparous [23].

Spawning season—Poorly known. November to January in Atlantic Ocean [24].


Fecundity—Poorly known. One “medium-sized” Alligatorfish in Atlantic Ocean specimen contained about 600 eggs [19].



Food and Feeding

Food items—Benthic individuals eat mostly zoobenthos, including crustaceans (for example, amphipods, isopods, cumaceans) and polychaetes [25, 26], although midwater animals such as pteropods are also consumed [26]. Food habits of larvae are unknown.


Trophic level—3.5 (standard error 0.43) [27].



Biological Interactions

Predators—Cannibalism has not been reported. Bering Sea, Kamchatka Peninsula and northern Kuril Island, Russia, predators include Flathead Sole, Great Sculpin, Plain Sculpin, Pacific Cod, Pacific halibut, and Sablefish [28–34]. Of these species, only great and plain sculpins and Pacific Halibut inhabit the U.S. Chukchi Sea, and Great Sculpin and Pacific Halibut are rarely found there.

Competitors—Presumably other zoobenthic feeders such as Arctic Cod, Walleye Pollock, other poachers, eelpouts, and sculpins.



Resilience

Medium, minimum population doubling time is 1.4–4.4 years (Preliminary *K* or Fecundity) [27].



Traditional and Cultural Importance

None reported.




Commercial Fisheries

Currently, Alligatorfish are not commercially harvested.



Potential Effects of Climate Change

Alligatorfish are common in marine waters of Arctic Alaska in temperatures 1.1–2.5 °C. Warming could lead to increased patterns of abundance and that could lead to increased competition with other fishes that feed on small benthic organisms. This would potentially, include Arctic Cod, Walleye Pollock, other poachers, and sculpins.



Areas for Future Research [B]

Little is known about the ecology and life history of this species. Research needs in the study area include:

- (1) depth and location of pelagic larvae, (2) depth, location, and timing of young-of-the-year benthic recruitment, (3) preferred depth ranges for juveniles and adults, (4) spawning season, (5) seasonal and ontogenetic movements, (6) population studies, (7) prey, and (8) predators.

References Cited

- Love, M.S., Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2005, Resource inventory of marine and estuarine fishes of the West Coast and Alaska—A checklist of North Pacific and Arctic Ocean species from Baja California to the Alaska-Yukon Border: U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division, OCS Study MMS 2005-030 and USGS/NBII 2005-001, 276 p. [35]
- Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2002, Fishes of Alaska: Bethesda, Maryland, American Fisheries Society, 1,116 p. [1]
- Scott, W.B., and Scott, M.G., 1988, Atlantic fishes of Canada: Toronto, University of Toronto Press, 730 p. [19]
- Sheiko, B.A., and Mecklenburg, C.W., 2004, Family Agonidae Swainson 1839—Poachers: California Academy of Sciences Annotated Checklists of Fishes no. 30, 27 p. [3]
- Tokranov, A.M., 1987b, Species composition and distribution of sea poachers (Pisces, Agonidae) in nearshore waters of Kamchatka: Zoologicheskii Zhurnal, v. 66, p. 385–392. [11]

Bibliography

1. Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2002, Fishes of Alaska: Bethesda, Maryland, American Fisheries Society, 1,116 p.
2. Mecklenburg, C.W., Møller, P.R., and Steinke, D., 2011, Biodiversity of Arctic marine fishes—Taxonomy and zoogeography: Marine Biodiversity, v. 41, no. 1, p. 109–140, Online Resource 1.
3. Sheiko, B.A., and Mecklenburg, C.W., 2004, Family Agonidae Swainson 1839—Poachers: California Academy of Sciences Annotated Checklists of Fishes no. 30, 27 p.
4. Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., Sheiko, B.A., and Steinke, D., 2016, Pacific Arctic marine fishes: Akureyri, Iceland, Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna, Monitoring Series Report No. 23, 406 p., accessed May 10, 2016, at <http://caff.is/monitoring-series/370-pacific-arcticmarine-fishes>.
5. Minerals Management Service, 2008, Beaufort Sea and Chukchi Sea planning areas—Oil and Gas Lease Sales 209, 212, 217, and 221: U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service Alaska OCS Region, OCS EIS/EA, MMS 2008-0055, 538 p.
6. Barber, W.E., Smith, R.L., Vallarino, M., and Meyer, R.M., 1997, Demersal fish assemblages of the northeastern Chukchi Sea, Alaska: Fishery Bulletin, v. 95, no. 2, p. 195–209.
7. Mecklenburg, C.W., Stein, D.L., Sheiko, B.A., Chernova, N.V., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Holladay, B.A., 2007, Russian–American long-term census of the Arctic—Benthic fishes trawled in the Chukchi Sea and Bering Strait, August 2004: Northwestern Naturalist, v. 88, no. 3, p. 168–187.
8. Allen, M.J., and Smith, G.B., 1988, Atlas and zoogeography of common fishes in the Bering Sea and northeastern Pacific: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Technical Report NMFS 66, 151 p.
9. Matarese, A.C., Blood, D.M., Picquelle, S.J., and Benson, J.L., 2003, Atlas of abundance and distribution patterns of ichthyoplankton from the northeast Pacific Ocean and Bering Sea ecosystems based on research conducted by the Alaska Fisheries Science Center (1972–1996): National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Professional Paper NMFS 1, 281 p.
10. Hoff, G.R., 2006, Biodiversity as an index of regime shift in the eastern Bering Sea: Fishery Bulletin, v. 104, no. 2, p. 226–237.
11. Tokranov, A.M., 1987b, Species composition and distribution of sea poachers (Pisces, Agonidae) in nearshore waters of Kamchatka: Zoologicheskii Zhurnal, v. 66, p. 385–392.
12. Boehlert, G.W., Gadomski, D.M., and Mundy, B.C., 1985, Vertical distribution of ichthyoplankton off the Oregon coast in spring and summer months: Fishery Bulletin, v. 83, no. 4, p. 611–621.
13. Haldorson, L., Pritchett, M., Sterritt, D., and Watts, J., 1993, Abundance patterns of marine fish larvae during spring in a southeastern Alaskan bay: Fishery Bulletin, v. 91, no. 1, p. 36–44.


14. Ambrose, D.A., Carter, R.L., and Manion, S.M., 2006, Ichthyoplankton and station data for surface (manta) and oblique (bongo) plankton tows for California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations survey cruises in 2005: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, NOAA Technical Memorandum NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFSC-392, 125 p.
15. Fahay, M.P., 1983, Early stages of fishes in the western North Atlantic Ocean (Davis Strait, Southern Greenland and Flemish Cap to Cape Hatteras), Volume 2—Scorpaeniformes through Tetraodontiformes: Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada, p. 932–1696.
16. Busby, M.S., Mier, K.L., and Brodeur, R.D., 2005, Habitat associations of demersal fishes and crabs in the Pribilof Islands region of the Bering Sea: Fisheries Research, v. 75, nos. 1–3, p. 15–28.
17. Drago, D.E., 2006, Seabird, fish, marine mammals and oceanography coordinated investigations (SMMOCI) near Bluff, Norton Sound, Alaska, July 2002: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Report AMNWR 06/03, 35 p.
18. Tokranov, A.M., 1993, Sexual dimorphism in sea poachers (Agonidae) off Kamchatka: Journal of Ichthyology, v. 33, no. 3, p. 113–122.
19. Scott, W.B., and Scott, M.G., 1988, Atlantic fishes of Canada: Toronto, University of Toronto Press, 730 p.
20. Tokranov, A.M., and Polutov, V.I., 1984, Fish distribution in the Kronitsky Bay in relation to abiotic factors: Zoologicheskii Zhurnal, v. 63, p. 1,363–1,373.
21. Cui, X., 2009, Climate-driven impacts of groundfish on food webs in the northern Bering Sea: Knoxville, University of Tennessee, Ph.D. dissertation, 162 p.
22. Love, M.S., University of California-Santa Barbara, pers. obs., 2009.
23. Love, M.S., 2011, Certainly more than you wanted to know about the fishes of the Pacific Coast: Santa Barbara, California, Really Big Press, 649 p.
24. Rose, G.A., 2005, On distributional responses of North Atlantic fish to climate change: ICES Journal of Marine Science, v. 62, no. 7, p. 1,360–1,374.
25. Tokranov, A.M., 1992, Dietary characteristics of sea poachers (Agonidae) in the coastal waters of Kamchatka: Journal of Ichthyology v. 32, no. 9, p. 85–94.
26. Hutchings, J.A., 2002, Ecology and biodiversity of commercially unexploited marine fishes in the northwest Atlantic: Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada, Dalhousie University, Department of Biology, unpub. report, p. 14.
27. Froese, R., and Pauly, D., eds., 2012, FishBase—Global information system on fishes: FishBase database, accessed July 8, 2012, at <http://www.fishbase.org>.
28. Hunter, M.A., 1979, Food resource partitioning among demersal fishes in the vicinity of Kodiak Island, Alaska: Seattle, University of Washington, Master's thesis, 120 p.
29. Tokranov, A.M., 1987a, Feeding of giant sculpin *Myoxocephalus polyacanthocephalus* Pallas and plain sculpin *M. jaok* (Cuvier) (Cottidae) in coastal waters of Kamchatka: Journal of Ichthyology, v. 27, p. 104–114.
30. Orlov, A.M., 1999, Trophic relationships of commercial fishes in the Pacific waters off southeastern Kamchatka and the northern Kuril Islands, in Ecosystem approaches for fisheries management 1999: Alaska Sea Grant College Program AK-SG-99-01, p. 231–264.
31. Pacunski, R.E., Livingston, P.A., and Miller, B.S., 1998, Food of flathead sole *Hippoglossoides elassodon* in the eastern Bering Sea: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-90, 36 p.
32. Napazakov, V.V., and Chuchukalo, V.I., 2003, Diet and trophic status of mass species of sculpins (Cottidae) in the western part of the Bering Sea in autumn: Journal of Ichthyology, v. 43, no. 3, p. 236–244.
33. Yang, M.-S., 2003, Food habits of the important groundfishes in the Aleutian Islands in 1994 and 1997: National Marine Fisheries Service, AFSC Processed Report 2003-07, 233 p.
34. Yang, M.-S., Dodd, K., Hibpshman, R., and Whitehouse, A., 2006, Food habits of groundfishes in the Gulf of Alaska in 1999 and 2001: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Technical Memorandum, NMFS-AFSC-164, 189 p.
35. Love, M.S., Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2005, Resource inventory of marine and estuarine fishes of the West Coast and Alaska—A checklist of North Pacific and Arctic Ocean species from Baja California to the Alaska-Yukon border: Seattle, Washington, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division, OCS Study MMS 2005-030 and USGS/NBII 2005-001, 276 p.

Arctic Alligatorfish (*Aspidophoroides olrikii*)

Lütken, 1877

Family Agonidae**Colloquial Name:** *None within U.S. Chukchi and Beaufort Seas.***Ecological Role:** Largely unknown. Species information regarding biology and ecology is not available. Although this species appears to be common in the U.S. Chukchi and Beaufort Seas, it does not school and its biomass is likely to be relatively low. Thus, this species is unlikely to represent a significant prey resource to birds, mammals, and larger fishes.**Physical Description/Attributes:** Brownish to olive green on back and sides and white on belly. Caudal fin has a white margin and center [1]. Males have a chalky white blotch on dorsal fin [2]. For specific diagnostic characteristics, see *Fishes of Alaska* (Mecklenburg and others, 2002, p. 552) [1]. Swim bladder: Absent [3]. Antifreeze glycoproteins in blood serum: Unknown.**Range:** *In U.S. Beaufort Sea and northward to at least 71°55'N, 175°18'W in U.S. Chukchi Sea* [4]. Elsewhere in Alaska, south through the Bering Sea to northern Gulf of Alaska in Prince William Sound [5]. Worldwide, practically circumpolar in Arctic Ocean, from southern Barents Sea and White Sea eastward to west Greenland but not Canadian high Arctic archipelago; south in western Atlantic Ocean to Newfoundland [1, 2, 4, 5].**Relative Abundance:** *Common throughout U.S. Chukchi Sea and U.S. Beaufort Seas* [1, 2, 4, 7, 8]. Reports of presence between Herschel Island and Franklin Bay, Canada [9–11] and numerous unpublished museum specimens from Alaska eastward to Hudson Bay indicate this species is common in Arctic Canadian waters [2].

Arctic Alligatorfish (*Aspidophoroides olrikii*), 61 mm TL, Chukchi Sea, 2004. Photograph by B.A. Sheiko and C.W. Mecklenburg, Russian Academy of Sciences and Point Stephens Research.




Geographic distribution of Arctic Alligatorfish (*Aspidophoroides olrikii*) within Arctic Outer Continental Shelf Planning Areas [6] based on review of published literature and specimens from historical and recent collections [1, 2, 5].


Depth Range: As deep as 400 m in U.S. Chukchi and Beaufort Seas [7], usually less than 100 m [1, 4, 5, 8, 12]. Elsewhere, overall depth range is 3–520 m [2]. Depth range of pelagic juveniles and larvae is poorly known. *One pelagic larva documented between 48 m and surface in the U.S. Chukchi Sea* [13]. Off Oregon and California, poacher larvae are abundant in near-surface waters, over the continental shelf and upper slope waters [14–16].

Aspidophoroides olrikii
Arctic Alligatorfish


Benthic distribution



Reproductive distribution



Benthic and reproductive distribution of Arctic Alligatorfish (*Aspidophoroides olrikii*).



Habitats and Life History

Eggs—Size: 0.75–1.5 mm [17]. Time to hatching: Unknown. Habitat: Demersal and adhesive (probably on sand and mud) [3].


Larvae—Size at hatching: Unknown. Size at juvenile transformation: 2.5–6.2 cm TL [7, 12, 18]. Days to juvenile transformation: 2–3 months [3]. Habitat: Pelagic although distance from shore and depth in water column are unknown for this species, in general poacher larvae are abundant in near-surface waters, over continental shelf and shallow slope waters [14–16].

Juveniles—Age and size: Unknown. Habitat: Demersal [3, 19].

Adults—Age and size at first maturity: Age unknown. About 62 mm off Russia [12]. Maximum age: Unknown. Maximum size: 10 cm TL [2]. Habitat: Demersal [1, 3, 19].

Substrate—Sand and mud bottoms; also lives around rocks [4, 12, 20].

Physical/chemical—Temperature: Juveniles and adults at least -1.8–7.9 °C [2, 21–23], prefers around 0 °C [24]. Salinity: Marine and estuarine waters as brackish as 20 ppt [12, 25], prefers 33–35 ppt [24].



Behavior

Diel—Unidentified poacher larvae have migrated into slightly deeper waters at night in southeastern Alaska [15].

Seasonal—Unknown.

Reproductive—Unknown.

Schooling—Unlikely. Other poacher species are solitary [19, 26].

Feeding—Unknown.



Populations or Stocks

There have been no studies.



Reproduction

Mode—Separate sexes, oviparous [27].

Spawning season—Unknown.


Fecundity—At least 110–260 eggs [7, 12].



Food and Feeding

Food items—A few fish examined in the U.S. Chukchi and Beaufort seas had eaten gammarid amphipods, polychaetes, and clam siphons [7, 28], whereas fish in the Russian Arctic consumed amphipods, isopods, ostracods, and nemerteans [12]. Food habits of larvae are unknown.


Trophic level—3.3 standard error 0.49 [29].



Biological Interactions

Predators—Unknown.

Competitors—Presumably a wide range of other zoobenthic feeders such as Arctic Cod, Walleye Pollock, other poachers, eelpouts, and sculpins.



Resilience

Medium, minimum population doubling time: 1.4–4.4 years (assuming $t_m = 2-4$; Fecundity <2,000) [21]




Traditional and Cultural Importance

None reported.




Commercial Fisheries

Currently, Arctic Alligatorfish are not commercially harvested.



Potential Effects of Climate Change

This is a predominantly Arctic species; thus, the distribution of Arctic Alligatorfish would be expected to shift northwards with warming temperatures.



Areas for Future Research [B]

Little is known about the ecology and life history of this species. Research needs in the study area include: (1) depth and location of pelagic larvae; (2) depth, location, and timing of young-of-the-year benthic recruitment, (3) preferred depth ranges for juveniles and adults, (4) spawning season, (5) seasonal and ontogenetic movement,; (6) population studies, (7) prey, and (8) predators.

References Cited

Frost, K.J., and Lowry, L.F., 1983, Demersal fishes and invertebrates trawled in the northeastern Chukchi and western Beaufort Seas 1976–1977: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, AA Technical Report NMFS-SSRF-764, 22 p. [7]

- Love, M.S., Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2005, Resource inventory of marine and estuarine fishes of the West Coast and Alaska—A checklist of North Pacific and Arctic Ocean species from Baja California to the Alaska-Yukon border: Seattle, Washington, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division, OCS Study MMS 2005-030 and USGS/NBII 2005-001, 276 p. [30]
- Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2002, *Fishes of Alaska*: Bethesda, Maryland, American Fisheries Society, 1,116 p. [1]
- Norcross, B.L., Holladay, B.A., Busby, M.S., and Mier, K.L., 2009, Demersal and larval fish assemblages in the Chukchi Sea: *Deep-Sea Research II*, v. 57, no. 1–2, p. 57–70. [13]
- Sheiko, B.A., and Mecklenburg, C.W., 2004, Family Agonidae Swainson 1839—Poachers: California Academy of Sciences Annotated Checklists of Fishes no. 30, 27 p. [3]

Bibliography

1. Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2002, *Fishes of Alaska*: Bethesda, Maryland, American Fisheries Society, 1,116 p.
2. Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., Sheiko, B.A., and Steinke, D., 2016, Pacific Arctic marine fishes: Akureyri, Iceland, Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna, Monitoring Series Report No. 23, 406 p., accessed May 10, 2016, at <http://caff.is/monitoring-series/370-pacific-arcticmarine-fishes>.
3. Sheiko, B.A., and Mecklenburg, C.W., 2004, Family Agonidae Swainson 1839—Poachers: California Academy of Sciences Annotated Checklists of Fishes no. 30, 27 p.
4. Mecklenburg, C.W., Stein, D.L., Sheiko, B.A., Chernova, N.V., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Holladay, B.A., 2007, Russian–American long-term census of the Arctic—Benthic fishes trawled in the Chukchi Sea and Bering Strait, August 2004: *Northwestern Naturalist*, v. 88, no. 3, p. 168–187.
5. Mecklenburg, C.W., Møller, P.R., and Steinke, D., 2011, Biodiversity of Arctic marine fishes—Taxonomy and zoogeography: *Marine Biodiversity*, v. 41, no. 1, p. 109–140 and Online Resource 1.
6. Minerals Management Service, 2008, Beaufort Sea and Chukchi Sea planning areas—Oil and Gas Lease Sales 209, 212, 217, and 221: U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service Alaska OCS Region, OCS EIS/EA, MMS 2008-0055, 538 p.
7. Frost, K.J., and Lowry, L.F., 1983, Demersal fishes and invertebrates trawled in the northeastern Chukchi and western Beaufort Seas 1976–1977: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, AA Technical Report NMFS-SSRF-764, 22 p.
8. Fehhelm, R.G., Craig, P.C., Baker, J.S., and Gallaway, B.J., 1984, Fish distribution and use of nearshore waters in the northeastern Chukchi Sea: LGL Ecological Research Associates, Inc., Outer Continental Shelf Environmental Assessment Program, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, OMPA/OCSEAP, Final Report, 190 p.
9. McAllister, D.E., 1963, Fishes of the 1960 “Salvelinus” program from western Arctic Canada: *National Museum of Canada Bulletin*, no. 185, p. 17–39.
10. Arctic Laboratories Limited, 1987, Beaufort ocean dumpsite characterization: Prepared for Environment Protection, Conservation and Protection, Environment Canada, Yellowknife, Northwest Territories, by Arctic Laboratories Limited and LGL Limited.
11. Stewart, D.B., Ratynski, R.A., Bernier, L.M.J., and Ramsey, D.J., 1993, A fishery development strategy for the Canadian Beaufort Sea-Amundsen Gulf area: Canadian Technical Report Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 1910, 135 p.
12. Andriashev, A.P., 1954, Fishes of the northern seas of the U.S.S.R.—Keys to the fauna of the U.S.S.R.: Academy of Sciences of the U.S.S.R., Zoological Institute, no. 53, 566 p. [In Russian, translation by Israel Program for Scientific Translation, Jerusalem, 1964, 617 p., available from U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia.]
13. Norcross, B.L., Holladay, B.A., Busby, M.S., and Mier, K.L., 2009, Demersal and larval fish assemblages in the Chukchi Sea: *Deep-Sea Research II*, v. 57, no. 1–2, p. 57–70.

14. Boehlert, G.W., Gadomski, D.M., and Mundy, B.C., 1985, Vertical distribution of ichthyoplankton off the Oregon coast in spring and summer months: *Fishery Bulletin*, v. 83, no. 4, p. 611–621.
15. Haldorson, L., Pritchett, M., Sterritt, D., and Watts, J., 1993, Abundance patterns of marine fish larvae during spring in a southeastern Alaskan bay: *Fishery Bulletin*, v. 91, no. 1, p. 36–44.
16. Ambrose, D.A., Carter, R.L., and Manion, S.M., 2006, Ichthyoplankton and station data for surface (manta) and oblique (bongo) plankton tows for California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations survey cruises in 2005: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, NOAA Technical Memorandum NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFSC-392, 125 p.
17. Fahay, M.P., 2007, Agonidae, in Fahay, M.P., Early stages of fishes in the western North Atlantic Ocean, volume 2: Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada, Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization, p. 982–986.
18. Busby, M.S., 1998, Guide to the identification of larval and early juvenile poachers (Scorpaeniformes: Agonidae) from the northeastern Pacific Ocean and Bering Sea: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Technical Report 137, 88 p.
19. Busby, M.S., Mier, K.L., and Brodeur, R.D., 2005, Habitat associations of demersal fishes and crabs in the Pribilof Islands region of the Bering Sea: *Fisheries Research*, v. 75, nos. 1–3, p. 15–28.
20. Scott, W.B., and Scott, M.G., 1988, Atlantic fishes of Canada: Toronto, University of Toronto Press, 730 p.
21. Froese, R., and Pauly, D., eds., 2012, FishBase—Global information system on fishes: FishBase database, accessed July 8, 2012, at <http://www.fishbase.org>.
22. Cui, X., 2009, Climate-driven impacts of groundfish on food webs in the northern Bering Sea: Knoxville, University of Tennessee, Ph.D. dissertation, 162 p.
23. Andriashev, A.P., 1986, Agonidae, in Whitehead, P.J.P., ed., Fishes of the north-eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean: Paris, United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization, p. 1,265–1,268.
24. Wienerroither, R., Johannesen, E., Langøy, H., Børve Eriksen, K., de Lange Wenneck, T., Høines, Å., Bjelland, O., Aglen, A., Prokhorova, T., Murashko, P., Prozorkevich, D., Konstantin, Byrkjedal, I., Langhelle Drevetnyak, and G., Smirnov, O., 2011, Atlas of the Barents Sea fishes: IMR/PINRO Joint Report Series 1-2011, ISSN 1502-8828, 274 p.
25. Schneider-Vieira, F., Baker, R., and Lawrence, M., 1993, The estuaries of Hudson Bay—A case study of the physical and biological characteristics of selected sites: Report prepared for the Hudson Bay Program by North-South Consultants Inc., 26 p.
26. Love, M.S., University of California-Santa Barbara, pers. obs., 2009.
27. Love, M.S., 2011, Certainly more than you wanted to know about the fishes of the Pacific Coast: Santa Barbara, California, Really Big Press, 649 p.
28. Atkinson, E.G., and Percy, J.A., 1992, Diet comparison among demersal marine fish from the Canadian Arctic: *Polar Biology*, v. 11, no. 8, p. 567–573.
29. Bureau of Offshore Energy Management, Table of population and behavioral ecology characteristics: Alaska OCS Region, unpub. data, 2010.
30. Love, M.S., Mecklenburg, C.W., Mecklenburg, T.A., and Thorsteinson, L.K., 2005, Resource inventory of marine and estuarine fishes of the West Coast and Alaska—A checklist of North Pacific and Arctic Ocean species from Baja California to the Alaska-Yukon border: Seattle, Washington, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division, OCS Study MMS 2005-030 and USGS/NBII 2005-001, 276 p.