



Code USGSW-BOEM-WNF-PRT-RPT-00001

SEMI-ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT

June 1, 2023 - November 30, 2023

Golden State Wind

3 Center Plaza, Suite 205 Boston, MA 02108



Code	USGSW-BOEM-WNF-PRT-RPT-00001		
Revision	01		
Date	February 9, 2024		

	Revision History					
Rev	Date	Purpose	Updates			
00	12/01/2023	Initial Revision				
01	02/09/2024	First Revision	Updates to Table 1 and Section 3.1.2 in response to BOEM comments. Revised version of Figure 2.			

Prepared by:



17885 Von Karman Avenue Suite 500

Irvine, CA 92614

Progress Report for OCS-P 0564, Golden State Wind LLC Time Period Covered by Report: 6/1/2023 to 11/30/2023 Prepared Pursuant to Lease Addendum C Section 3



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Golden State Wind LLC (GSW) has prepared this semi-annual progress report for Lease OCS-P 0564 (Lease). This progress report covers the first six months since the Lease effective date of June 1, 2023, through November 30, 2023. This first progress report also covers activities prior to the Lease effective date as applicable.

GSW is committed to outreach and thoughtful planning with regards to community stakeholders, fisheries, Native American Tribes and Tribal Nations (Tribes), and agencies. Through introductory meetings and information sessions, GSW has established relationships with, provided information to, and sought input from these external parties.

GSW established routine communication with the U.S. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) on regulatory requirements and Project updates. GSW has engaged with a number of other federal and state agencies to introduce the Golden State Wind Project (Project), discuss communications plans, and introduce the Project team. GSW has published its Agency Communications Plan and will update the plan throughout the life of the Project to ensure interested parties can access up-to-date information in an effective manner.

GSW is committed to meaningful, open communication, coordination, and collaboration with Tribes. GSW has contracted a Tribal Liaison, developed and distributed a Tribal Engagement Survey, and requested feedback in the development of a Native American Tribes Communications Plan (NATCP). GSW, along with its neighboring Central Coast Leaseholders, organized and participated in meetings with Tribes in October 2023 to discuss interests and engagement strategies throughout the Project development process and beyond. As requested by Tribes, GSW is in the process of developing a joint NATCP with its neighboring Central Coast Leaseholders.

GSW, through its Fisheries Liaison, has engaged local commercial and recreational fisheries and scheduled and attended relevant meetings with fishermen and fishing organizations. GSW has published its Fisheries Communications Plan, which was refined based on feedback from local commercial and recreational fisheries and will be updated throughout the life of the Project.

The GSW stakeholder team has continued to meet with local community groups, universities, non-governmental organizations, and other groups to create open channels of communication. Throughout the coming reporting period, GSW will continue to work with stakeholders to address continued questions and gather feedback on the Project.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Purp	ose and Background	1
	1.1	Purpose	
	1.2	Lease Information and Description	
2	Triba	al Engagement	4
	2.1	Overall Engagement Progress	
	2.2	Identification of Potential Project Effects Related to Tribal Interests	
	2.3	Tribal Feedback on Transmission Planning	
	2.4	Anticipated or Scheduled Tribal Engagement Activities	12
3	Enga	agement with Potential Affected Parties	13
	3.1	Overall Engagement Progress	
		3.1.1 Agencies	
		3.1.2 Fisheries	
		3.1.3 Stakeholders	15
	3.2	Identification of Potential Project Effects Related to Parties	22
	3.3	Feedback from Parties on Transmission Planning	23
4	Anti	cipated or Scheduled Engagement Planning	23
5	Surv	ey Plan Activities	24
6	Refe	rences	25
J	14010	/I CIICC3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



TABLES

Table 1.	Tribal Engagement During Reporting Period	5
Table 2.	Potential Effects and Tribal Input on Project Design or Implementation	11
Table 3.	Anticipated Tribal Engagement Activities for the Next Reporting Period	13
Table 4.	Engagement with Parties During Reporting Period	16
Table 5.	Potential Effects and Input from Parties on Project Design or Implement	
Table 6.	Anticipated Engagement with Parties for the Next Reporting Period	23
Table 7.	Survey Plan Activities Under BOEM Review or Underway	24
Table 8.	Anticipated or Modified Survey Plan Activities	24
	FIGURES	
Figure 1.	Morro Bay Wind Energy Area and Lease Area OCS-P 0564	2
Figure 2.	Conceptual Project Schematic	3
	APPENDICES	
Appendix A	Tribes and Other Parties Relevant to the Project	
Appendix B	Lessee and Liaison Contact Information	
Appendix C	Communications Plans	



ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ACP Agency Communications Plan

ACP-CA American Clean Power-California

BOEM U.S. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management

CCC California Coastal Commission

CDFW California Department of Fish and Wildlife

CEC California Energy Commission

CHNMS Proposed Chumash Heritage National Marine Sanctuary

CSLC California State Lands Commission

DEIS Draft Environmental Impact Assessment

DoD U.S. Department of Defense

eNGOs environmental non-governmental organizations

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

FCP Fisheries Communications Plan

FL Fisheries Liaison

GSW Golden State Wind LLC

Lease OCS-P 0564

Lease Area OCS-P 0564
LNM Local Notice to Mariners

MBCFO Morro Bay Commercial Fishermen's Organization
NAHC California Native American Heritage Commission

NATCP Native American Tribal Communication Plan

NOAA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's National Marine

NOAA Fisheries Fisheries Service

OSW offshore wind

OW

PSLCFA

Ocean Winds

PFMC Pacific Fishery Management Council
Progress Report This semi-annual progress report

rrogress keport This semi-amidal progress report

reporting period the period from June 1, 2023 to November 30, 2023

Port San Luis Commercial Fishermen Association

SAP Site Assessment Plan

TES Tribal Engagement Survey

Tribes Native American Tribes and Tribal Nations

USACE
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USCG
United States Coast Guard
USFWS
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service



1 PURPOSE AND BACKGROUND

1.1 Purpose

This Progress Report is prepared in accordance with Section 3.1 of the Lease OCS-P 0564 (Lease), which requires that Golden State Wind LLC (GSW) submit a semi-annual progress report (Progress Report) to the U.S. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) every six months from the date of the Lease effective date (June 1, 2023) through the approval of a Construction and Operations Plan (BOEM 2023a). This Progress Report covers the period from June 1, 2023, to November 30, 2023 (reporting period). The purpose of this Progress Report is to document GSW's overall progress and engagement activities undertaken with Native American Tribes and Tribal Nations (Tribes), fisheries, agencies, and stakeholders over the prior six-month period. This report was prepared to align with BOEM's Draft Guidelines and Instructions for Progress Report Required by BOEM New York Bight Leases and Carolina Long Bay Leases, which BOEM directed GSW to use in preparation of this report (BOEM 2023b). A list of these parties that may be potentially affected by the Golden State Wind Project (Project) activities are provided in Appendix A: Tribes and Other Parties Relevant to the Project. Relevant contact information is provided in Appendix B: Lessee and Liaison Contact Information, and GSW's Communications Plans can be found in Appendix C: Communications Plans.

Each Progress Report will be updated with GSW's progress and engagement activities completed during the prior reporting period and plans for continued engagement.

1.2 Lease Information and Description

GSW is a 50/50 partnership between Ocean Winds (OW) and the Canada Pension Plan Investment Board, managed by OW. GSW obtained rights to Lease Area OCS-P 0564 (Lease Area) in BOEM's December 2022 Pacific Wind Lease Sale. The Lease Area is located on the Pacific Coast Outer Continental Shelf and is the central of three lease areas in the Morro Bay Wind Energy Area (Figure 1). The Lease Area is approximately 80,418 acres (325 square kilometers), 22 miles (19 nautical miles; 35 kilometers) offshore of California at the closest point, and 53 miles (46 nautical miles; 85 kilometers) offshore of Morro Bay, California. Water depths within the Lease Area range from approximately 3,120 feet (951 meters) to 4,540 feet (1,328 meters). The Morro Bay Wind Energy Area was designated by BOEM following a review of existing and targeted environmental studies as well as significant stakeholder engagement and input. A copy of Lease OCS-P 0564 is available on BOEM's website.

Once constructed, the Project will consist of wind turbine generators installed on floating platforms, mooring, and anchoring systems connecting them to the seabed, inter-array cables, floating offshore substation(s), offshore export cables, cable landfall(s), onshore export cables, onshore substation(s), and onshore interconnection cable(s) to the point(s) of interconnection to the onshore electric grid (Figure 2). If approved by federal, state, and local agencies, activities would include construction, operation, maintenance, and eventual decommissioning of the Project.

2






Figure 1. Morro Bay Wind Energy Area and Lease Area OCS-P 0564

Golden State Wind Project



GOLDEN STATE WIND PROJECT




Figure 2. Conceptual Project Schematic

Golden State Wind Project 3



To support engineering design and permitting, site assessment activities to be conducted within the Lease Area include site characterization and survey activities involving vessel-based surveys and deployment of metocean buoys. Site assessment activities outside of the Lease Area include survey activities along proposed offshore export cable routes and onshore facilities locations.

2 TRIBAL ENGAGEMENT

GSW believes that early and active engagement with Native American Tribes and Tribal Nations (Tribes) can benefit the Project as well as Tribal communities. GSW recognizes and respects the special government-to-government relationship between the U.S. government and federally recognized Tribes as set forth in the Constitution of the United States, treaties, statutes, Executive Orders, and court decisions, as well as the experience and insight of all local California Tribes. GSW acknowledges that BOEM remains responsible for all government-to-government consultations and will continue to engage with Tribes in its capacity as the lead federal agency.

The Tribes listed in Appendix A have been identified by the California Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) and BOEM as having cultural and/or historical ties to the Lease Area or having concerns with impacts to migratory marine species. GSW began the engagement process by submitting a request to NAHC on June 1, 2023, for a Tribal contact list for the Project and received a response on June 27, 2023. The contact list was supplemented by BOEM with four additional Tribes that requested to be included in the process. GSW invites other interested Tribes and parties to contact GSW directly.

2.1 Overall Engagement Progress

GSW contracted a Tribal Liaison (ASM Affiliates) to coordinate Tribal engagement and assist in establishing and maintaining positive, productive, and collaborative engagements with Tribes. GSW also contracted SEARCH, Inc. to support the development of a Tribal Engagement Survey (TES) to identify specific preferences of how individual Tribes would like to engage in communications with GSW, as well as development of the Native American Tribes Communications Plan (NATCP).

GSW sent a letter to Tribal governments listed in Appendix A introducing the GSW Project, inviting them to participate in development of the NATCP, and to designate a Tribal Representative to act as the main point of contact. Hard copy letters were sent to all identified Tribes via certified mail on August 8, 2023, and an email version was sent on August 11, 2023. The TES was included with both versions of the letter. Several rounds of follow-up telephone calls and emails were made to each of the Tribes to confirm receipt and input for the TES.

GSW held multiple meetings and discussions with the other Central Coast Leaseholders to plan for coordinated engagement with Tribes on development of the NATCP, in order to reduce the engagement burden on Tribes. On October 25 and 26, 2023, the three Central Coast Leaseholders met with six of the Tribes to provide background on the NATCP requirements,



solicit input on communication preferences to include in the NATCP, present Project overview information, and discuss process for future survey plans and the need for Tribal feedback. Following the meetings, outreach was completed to Tribes who were not available on October 25 and 26, 2023. Follow-up actions and outcomes are listed below in Table 1. Table 1 also includes other outreach and engagement that occurred with Tribes.

Based on input from Tribes, GSW and the other two Central Coast Leaseholders are developing a joint NATCP for the three projects. The draft joint NATCP was provided to Tribes for review and comment on November 28, 2023, and the joint NATCP will be submitted to BOEM no later than January 2024, in accordance with GSW's approved waiver request.

Table 1. Tribal Engagement During Reporting Period

Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Dates	Tribe(s) Represented	Follow-up Actions, Activities, Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with Other Lessees /Reason for Focused Outreach
Introductions	04/20/2023	yak titvu titvu yak tiłhini - Northern Chumash Tribe	 Discussed yak titvu titvu yak tithini History and Culture yak titvu titvu yak tithini perspectives on Diablo Canyon Lands Concept of new port development Intertribal dynamics 	No / Pre-NAHC list outreach to local Tribes
Introductions	06/01/2023	Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Indians	Update to contact listFollow up during NATCP planning	No / Pre-NAHC list outreach to local Tribes
Central Coast Leaseholders' introductions and initial NATCP Planning	06/02/2023	yak tit ^y u tit ^y u yak ti [†] hini - Northern Chumash Tribe	Leaseholders to include point of contact and engage with yak tityu tityu yak tithini during NATCP development.	Yes – Coordinated by the 3 Central Coast Leaseholders / Pre-NAHC list outreach to local Tribes



Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Dates	Tribe(s) Represented	Follow-up Actions, Activities, Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with Other Lessees /Reason for Focused Outreach
Central Coast Leaseholders' introductions and initial NATCP Planning	06/02/2023	Salinan Tribe of Monterey, San Luis Obispo Counties	Leaseholders to include point of contact and engage with Salinan Tribe during NATCP development.	Yes – Coordinated by the 3 Central Coast Leaseholders / Pre-NAHC list outreach to local Tribes
Introductions	06/07/2023	Northern Chumash Tribal Council	Follow up during NATCP planning.	N/A / Pre-NAHC list outreach to local Tribes
Discussion of Project design	06/23/2023	yak tit ^y u tit ^y u yak ti [‡] hini - Northern Chumash Tribe	Discussion of onshore Project design elements	N/A / Pre-NAHC list outreach to local Tribes
NATCP intro letter/email	08/11/2023	All participating federally and non-federally recognized Tribes as provided by NAHC and BOEM	Requested completion of TES forms	N/A / All Tribes listed in Appendix A, Table A-1



Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Dates	Tribe(s) Represented	Follow-up Actions, Activities, Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with Other Lessees /Reason for Focused Outreach
GSW Tribal Liaison introductions and NATCP TES Status	09/11- 13/2023	yak titvu titvu yak tiłhini - Northern Chumash Tribe; Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Indians; Barbareño/Ventureño Band of Mission Indians; Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation; Salinan Tribe of Monterey, San Luis Obispo Counties; Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi Yokut Tribe; Tule River Indian Tribe; Northern Chumash Tribal Council; Hoh Tribe; Makah Indian Tribe of the Makah Indian Reservation; Quileute Tribe of the Quileute Reservation	 TES Tribal Concerns Contact information Draft NATCP 	N/A / Follow-up with all Tribes that had not responded to NATCP TES request. Only 3 Tribes responded to the introductory letter and TES. The remaining Tribes did not respond due to capacity and/or need for approval from their Tribal Council.
NATCP TES follow- up	09/25-26/2023	Barbareño/Ventureño Band of Mission Indians; Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi Yokut Tribe; Tule River Indian Tribe; Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation; Xolon- Salinan Tribe; Makah Indian Tribe of the Makah Indian Reservation; Quinault Indian Nation	 TES Tribal Concerns Contact information Draft NATCP 	N/A / Follow-up with all Tribes that had not responded to NATCP TES request. Most Tribes could not respond due to capacity and/or need for approval from their Tribal Council.



Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Dates	Tribe(s) Represented	Follow-up Actions, Activities, Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with Other Lessees /Reason for Focused Outreach
NATCP TES follow- up and Joint NATCP meeting with Central Coast Leaseholders	10/09-10/2023	yak titvu titvu yak tiłhini - Northern Chumash Tribe; Barbareño/Ventureño Band of Mission Indians; Santa Ynes Band of Chumash Nation; Salinan Tribe of Monterey, San Luis Obispo Counties; Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi Yokut Tribe; Tule River Indian Tribe; Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation; Makah Indian Tribe of the Makah Indian Reservation; Quinault Indian Nation	TES Status Meeting Availability for 10/25/23 and 10/26/23	Yes – Coordinated by the 3 Central Coast Leaseholders / Follow-up with all Tribes that had not responded to NATCP meeting request. Most Tribes could not respond due to capacity and/or need for approval from their Tribal Council.
Formal consultation invitation for Tribal input on NATCP	10/10/2023	All participating federally and non-federally recognized Tribes as provided by NAHC and BOEM	TES Status Meeting Availability for 10/25/23 and 10/26/23	Yes – Coordinated by the 3 Central Coast Leaseholders / All participating Tribes listed in Appendix A, Table A-1



Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Dates	Tribe(s) Represented	Follow-up Actions, Activities, Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with Other Lessees /Reason for Focused Outreach
Follow-up for NATCP joint leaseholder meeting	10/16/2023	Barbareño/Ventureño Band of Mission Indians; Hoh Tribe; Makah Indian Tribe of the Makah Indian Reservation; Quinault Indian Nation	Meeting Availability for 10/25/23 and 10/26/23	Yes – Coordinated by the 3 Central Coast Leaseholders / Follow-up with all Tribes that had not responded to NATCP meeting request due to capacity issues or pending approval from Tribal Council.
NATCP pre-draft meeting with BOEM	10/25-26/2023	yak tityu tityu yak tiłhini - Northern Chumash Tribe; Salinan Tribe of Monterey, San Luis Obispo Counties; Tule River Indian Tribe; Xolon-Salinan Tribe; Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation; Northern Chumash Tribal Council	 Draft NATCP Preparation Project Overviews Schedule and touch points for Tribal input Tribal concerns Communication preferences Future engagement strategies Several Tribes raised concerns about who is being consulted 	Yes - Coordinated by the 3 Central Coast Leaseholders / Included Tribes that requested NATCP pre-draft meetings.



Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Dates	Tribe(s) Represented	Follow-up Actions, Activities, Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with Other Lessees /Reason for Focused Outreach
Follow-up for NATCP communication information	10/31/2023	Barbareño/Ventureño Band of Mission Indians; Hoh Tribe; Makah Indian Tribe of the Makah Indian Reservation; Quinault Indian Nation	 TES Tribal Concerns Contact information Draft NATCP 	Yes – Coordinated by the 3 Central Coast Leaseholders / Follow-up with Tribes that had not responded with NATCP communication preferences information due to capacity issues or pending approval from Tribal Council.
Follow-up to BOEM NATCP meeting	10/31/2023; 11/02/2023	yak titvu titvu yak tiłhini - Northern Chumash Tribe; Salinan Tribe of Monterey, San Luis Obispo Counties; Tule River Indian Tribe; Xolon-Salinan Tribe; Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation; Northern Chumash Tribal Council	 Confirmed contact information gathered Provide .pdfs of PowerPoint presentations 	Yes – Coordinated by the 3 Central Coast Leaseholders / Specific to Tribes that requested NATCP pre-draft meetings (see entry on 10/25- 26/2023)
Provided draft joint NATCP via email	11/28/2023	All participating federally and non-federally recognized Tribes as provided by NAHC and BOEM	 Provided draft joint NATCP with request for comments by December 28, 2023 Host informational webinars to receive Tribal feedback on the weeks of December 11 and 18 	Yes – Coordinated by the 3 Central Coast Leaseholders / All participating Tribes listed in Appendix A, Table A-1



2.2 Identification of Potential Project Effects Related to Tribal Interests

During GSW's meetings and engagement efforts, Tribes expressed potential concerns as noted in Table 2.

Table 2. Potential Effects and Tribal Input on Project Design or Implementation

Potential Effect	Affected Tribe	Efforts to Address Potential Effect and/or How the Project Design or Implementation Has Been Informed
Impacts to proposed Chumash Heritage National Marine Sanctuary	yak titvu titvu yak tiłhini - Northern Chumash Tribe; Salinan Tribe of Monterey, San Luis Obispo Counties; Xolon- Salinan Tribe; Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation; Northern Chumash Tribal Council	Concerted effort to co-exist where cables/routing would need to cross the proposed Sanctuary boundary
Marine mammal species (specifically northern fur seal, walrus, and whales)	yak titvu titvu yak tiłhini - Northern Chumash Tribe; Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Indians; Barbareño/Ventureño Band of Mission Indians; Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation; Salinan Tribe of Monterey, San Luis Obispo Counties; Xolon-Salinan Tribe; Hoh Tribe; Quileute Tribe of the Quileute Reservation	Tribes will review survey and data collection plans, technical studies, and proposed designs/alignments
Coastal/terrestrial habitats; fish and essential fish habitat; avian species	yak titvu titvu yak tiłhini - Northern Chumash Tribe; Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Indians; Barbareño/Ventureño Band of Mission Indians; Xolon-Salinan Tribe; Salinan Tribe of Monterey, San Luis Obispo Counties; Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation	Tribes will review survey and data collection plans, technical studies, and proposed designs/alignments
Water quality; air quality; benthic and shellfish resources	Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation	Tribes will review survey and data collection plans, technical studies, and proposed designs/alignments



Potential Effect	Affected Tribe	Efforts to Address Potential Effect and/or How the Project Design or Implementation Has Been Informed
Visual impacts to cultural resource viewsheds/vistas	yak tityu tityu yak tiłhini - Northern Chumash Tribe; Salinan Tribe of Monterey, San Luis Obispo Counties; Xolon- Salinan Tribe; Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation	Tribes were asked to inform locations of key observation points for visual simulations and analysis
Marine archaeological resources; terrestrial archaeological resources; aboveground historic properties	yak tit ^y u tit ^y u yak tiłhini - Northern Chumash Tribe; Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Indians; Barbareño/Ventureño Band of Mission Indians; Xolon-Salinan Tribe; Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation	Tribes will review survey plans and be involved in fieldwork and design considerations

2.3 Tribal Feedback on Transmission Planning

GSW is in the process of transmission planning, including identification of onshore and offshore routing constraints and development of draft transmission corridors. As part of this process, GSW will be engaging with Tribes and other parties to solicit information on routing constraints and feedback on draft corridors and routes. GSW is committed to early engagement to solicit and implement meaningful feedback on this process. To promote continuing dialogue on transmission planning, GSW will host future meetings, as described in Table 3, with interested Tribes to discuss potential transmission cable routes.

2.4 Anticipated or Scheduled Tribal Engagement Activities

During the next reporting period, GSW will continue to work with Tribes, BOEM, and the other Central Coast Leaseholders to develop a joint NATCP, as was requested by Tribes in the October 2023 meetings. A draft joint NATCP has been provided to Tribes for review and comment and will be submitted to BOEM no later than January 2024. GSW will be engaging with Tribes regarding the NATCP, transmission planning, survey plans, and ongoing general Project updates, as detailed in Table 3.



Table 3. Anticipated Tribal Engagement Activities for the Next Reporting Period

Future Anticipated Discussion/ Engagement	Tribe(s)	Anticipated Timeframe	Anticipated Coordinated Engagement with Other Lessees
Feedback on draft joint NATCP	All participating federally and non- federally recognized Tribes as provided by NAHC and BOEM	Q4 2023 (December)	Coordination planned with all Central Coast Leaseholders
Transmission routing planning and siting	All participating federally and non- federally recognized Tribes as provided by NAHC and BOEM	Q1/Q2 2024	TBD
Pre-survey plan meeting	All participating federally and non- federally recognized Tribes as provided by NAHC and BOEM	Q1 2024	TBD
General Project update – quarterly/milestone	All participating federally and non- federally recognized Tribes as provided by NAHC and BOEM	Q1/Q2 2024	Coordination planned with all Central Coast Leaseholders

3 ENGAGEMENT WITH POTENTIAL AFFECTED PARTIES

3.1 Overall Engagement Progress

GSW has engaged with agencies, fisheries, and other stakeholders throughout the reporting period, as described below and listed in Table 4.

3.1.1 Agencies

GSW has held or participated in numerous agency meetings and engagement activities during the reporting period, including regularly scheduled engagements, meetings to introduce the Project and team, and discussions of proposed legislation, state policy, regulations, specific permits, surveys, or studies. GSW endeavored to hold joint meetings with other leaseholders wherever possible except in cases where Project-specific information was discussed. These agency engagements included the following:

- Project introductions;
- Regularly scheduled bi-weekly and monthly coordination meetings;
- Regularly scheduled leaseholder coordination meetings;



- Survey permits, plans, protocols, and activities discussions; and
- Initial impact assessment approach or protocol discussions.

As required by Lease stipulation 3.1.3, GSW developed an Agency Communications Plan (ACP) describing the strategies for communicating with federal, state, and local agencies with authority related to the Project area and specific methods for engaging with and disseminating information related to these agencies. Agencies first received a draft of the ACP on August 18, 2023. GSW hosted two meetings with regulatory agencies, on August 30 and September 8, 2023, to present the ACP and discuss the ACP as a group. Feedback from the agencies was incorporated into a final ACP submitted to BOEM on September 29, 2023. The ACP is posted publicly on GSW's website, listed in Appendix C.

In accordance with the ACP, GSW held or attended multi-agency and/or coordinated leaseholder meetings whenever possible in order to reduce consultation burden and ensure coordinated feedback from agencies. GSW is committed to working collaboratively with other lessees to develop coordinated engagement activities. To help accomplish this coordination, the five California leaseholders worked with American Clean Power Association and developed the California Council of Offshore Wind Leaseholders. This council, with support from American Clean Power-California (ACP-CA) is dedicated to fostering the emerging California floating offshore wind market and advancing the state's first projects. Together with the other leaseholders, GSW participated in regularly scheduled meetings with the California Energy Commission (CEC), which were initiated and organized by the CEC. The leaseholders held joint meetings with the members of the California Legislature, Governor's Office, and state agencies during the reporting period on a variety of topics that have potential to impact offshore wind (OSW) development in California. The Central Coast Leaseholders have coordinated on meetings in San Luis Obispo and the City of Morro Bay with state, county, and local agencies, elected officials, and local stakeholders. GSW coordinates with the other leaseholders and ACP-CA and meets regularly with state agencies on regulatory and other issues impacting OSW development. In addition, GSW coordinated with the other leaseholders to hold joint meetings with federal and state agencies to discuss issues related to the development of the communications plans and engagement with Tribes and fisheries. GSW has also coordinated with other leaseholders for meetings with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA's) Office of National Marine Sanctuaries regarding the proposed Chumash Heritage National Marine Sanctuary.

3.1.2 Fisheries

GSW recognizes that fishing is an integral part of the cultural, historic, and economic fabric of coastal California. GSW believes that responsible development of offshore wind is contingent on early, transparent, and continuous collaboration with the fishing industry and that the success of the proposed Project depends on our ability to coexist alongside other ocean users. During the reporting period, GSW hired a Fisheries Liaison (FL) to coordinate fisheries engagement and to assist in establishing and maintaining positive, productive, and collaborative discussions with commercial and recreational fishing communities. GSW spoke with individual commercial and recreational fishermen with fishing interests in or near the



Project area, as well as representatives from numerous fishing organizations along the West Coast, including the Morro Bay Commercial Fishermen's Organization (MBCFO), Port San Luis Commercial Fishermen Association (PSLCFA), Pacific Coast Fishermen Federation Association, California Fishermen Resiliency Association (CFRA), the San Diego Fisheries Working Group, and the Coastal California Conservation Association. Other interested stakeholders including the Pacific Fishery Management Council (PFMC), California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), California Coastal Commission (CCC), and the Responsible Offshore Development Alliance were also contacted.

GSW also developed a Fisheries Communications Plan (FCP) in accordance with Lease stipulation 6.2. GSW began circulating the draft FCP the week of August 23, 2023. The draft FCP was initially provided to the PFMC, CCC, CDFW, MBCFO, and PSLCFA but was also circulated to a wider group of stakeholders in September and October. Since the draft FCP was circulated, GSW has gathered feedback on the draft FCP through meetings, emails, and individual calls and communication with stakeholders. This engagement has been both coordinated with the two other Central Coast Leaseholders and completed individually by GSW's FL. GSW collaborated with the other Central Coast Leaseholders to hold an in-person meeting on September 12, 2023, in Morro Bay where the MBCFO, PSLCFA, and BOEM were invited to participate to review and provide feedback on the draft FCP, but both fishing associations chose not to attend. GSW submitted a Local Notice to Mariners (LNM) request to the United States Coast Guard (USCG) on September 19, 2023, and the LNM was posted on October 11, 2023, notifying mariners of the availability of the draft FCP for public comment. On September 27, 2023, GSW submitted an extension request to BOEM to submit the FCP by November 3, 2023, to allow more time for engagement on the draft FCP. BOEM approved the extension request on October 13, 2023. GSW revised the FCP based on public comments and feedback from fisheries stakeholders and published a revised FCP on November 3, 2023. The FCP is posted publicly on GSW's website, listed in Appendix C.

3.1.3 Stakeholders

GSW has commenced engagement with a wide range of additional stakeholders, including environmental non-governmental organizations (eNGOs), universities, labor organizations, local businesses, and community members. This engagement has included Project introductory meetings, attendance of and participation in local events, and community outreach. Stakeholder meetings are expected to increase and progress during the next reporting period to obtain input on the Project and develop partnerships that will facilitate responsible Project development. GSW will work with the Central Coast Leaseholders on region-specific stakeholder engagement and meetings, as appropriate, and will also work through the California Council of Offshore Wind Leaseholders to coordinate meetings and outreach with stakeholders.



Table 4. Engagement with Parties During Reporting Period

Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Party(ies)	Follow up Actions, Activities, Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with Other Lessees
Agencies			
Bi-weekly Project updates	воем	Various	N/A
CEC staff and leaseholder updates/monthly meeting	CEC Staff	Various	Joint monthly meeting with California Leaseholders and ACP-CA
CEC Chair and Leaseholder updates/ monthly meeting	CEC Chair	Various	Joint monthly meeting with California Leaseholders and ACP CA
Introductory call	CCC	Discussed CCC's role in OSW in California	Joint meeting with California Leaseholders
Introductory call	California State Lands Commission (CSLC)	Discussed potential permitting roadmap	N/A
Introductory call	CDFW	Stay in communication on Project updates and confirm contacts	N/A
Introductory call	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)	Stay in communication on Project updates and confirm Project contacts	N/A
Introductory call	California Central Coast Regional Water Board	Stay in communication on Project updates and confirm Project contacts	N/A
Introductory call	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)	Stay in communication on Project updates and confirm Project contacts	N/A
Introductory call	San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District	Stay in communication on Project updates and confirm Project contacts	N/A
GSW Update and Programmatic Environmental Impact Report	CSLC	Stay in communication	N/A
Fisheries engagement	CCC	Stay in communication	Joint meeting with three Central Coast Leaseholders
Introductory call	San Luis Obispo County, Economic Development Department	Committed to keep updated on Project activities	N/A



Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Party(ies)	Follow up Actions, Activities, Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with Other Lessees
Introductory call	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Migratory Birds Program	Stay in contact and provide contact in Sacramento office	N/A
Introductory call	USCG	Stay in communication	N/A
Tribal and fisheries outreach opportunities and challenges	ВОЕМ	Various	Joint meeting with three Central Coast Leaseholders
State funding, Green Bond, Central Procurement	California Natural Resources Agency	Stay in communication	N/A
Introductory call	USFWS Ventura Field Office	Various. To follow up on ACP.	N/A
Site Assessment Plan (SAP) safety documentation	Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement	Discussed safety plans requirements for potential SAP submittal	N/A
Early coordination and proposed SAP approach	BOEM, CCC, CSLC	Discussed potential SAP approach	N/A
Communications Plans status	ВОЕМ	Stay in communication	Joint meeting with California Leaseholders
Project Introduction and permitting approach	EPA	EPA to discuss permitting approach with regional air quality districts	N/A
Agency Communication Plan	BOEM, USACE, NOAA, EPA, U.S. Navy, USCG, CSLC, CCC, CEC, CDFW, California Office of Historic Preservation, Ocean Protection Council, City of Morro Bay, San Luis Obispo County	Various	N/A
U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Informal Review	DoD	Submitted informal review request to DoD Clearinghouse for planned future activities	N/A
Proposed Chumash Heritage National Marine Sanctuary (CHNMS)	NOAA Fisheries	Leaseholders should provide comments on the proposed CHNMS designation	Joint meetings with Central Coast Leaseholders



Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Party(ies)	Follow up Actions, Activities, Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with Other Lessees
Proposed CHNMS	ВОЕМ	Leaseholders should provide comments on proposed CHNMS designation	N/A
Assembly Bill 525 Implementation	CEC	Discussed next steps with CEC AB 525 Strategic Plan and Permitting Roadmap	N/A
CHNMS and general OSW update	CSLC	GSW to provide comment on CHNMS	N/A
CHNMS Proposal	CDFW	Provide OSW industry letter on CHNMS when available.	N/A
Incidental Harassment Authorization application feedback	NOAA Fisheries	Incorporated NOAA Fisheries feedback into Incidental Harassment Authorization application	N/A
Introductory call & GSW overview	Navy Region Southwest	Stay in communication	N/A
Project update	San Luis Obispo County Representatives	Provide regular updates	N/A
Central Coast Leaseholder Comment Letter, 7c Fisheries Workgroup, OSW update	CCC Executive Director	Stay in communication	N/A
Central Coast Leaseholder Comment Letter, OSW update	CDFW	Stay in communication	N/A
West Coast NOAA surveys	NOAA Fisheries, California Leaseholders	NOAA Fisheries presented types of survey work performed on West Coast, expressed need for collaboration between federal and state agencies with leaseholders	Coordinated a meeting with California Leaseholders and performed independent outreach
Transmission needs	California Public Utilities Commission	Stay in communication, California Public Utilities Commission to provide info on central coast transmission	N/A



Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Party(ies)	Follow up Actions, Activities, Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with Other Lessees
Workforce and supply chain	Labor and Workforce Development Agency	Stay in communication	N/A
Upcoming survey plans and potential permitting needs	USFWS	Stay in communication on Project updates	N/A
Upcoming survey plans and metocean buoy deployment permitting requirements	USACE	Follow up on Nationwide Permit requirements	N/A
Scientific Collection Permit	CDFW	Discussed permitting requirements for site assessment and benthic grab sampling in state waters	N/A
Fisheries			
Introductions	Multiple Central Coast fishing associations	Exchange contact information	N/A
Mutual Benefit Corporation Board	MBCFO, PSLCFA, lawyer representing fishermen	Leaseholder communication to discuss future engagement; discuss points of alignment, survey mitigation methods and mitigation; fishermen sent notice via lawyer they will not engage as a group until further notice	Joint engagement with Central Coast Leaseholders
GSW's FL introduction	Multiple West Coast commercial fishing associations, recreational charter groups, and other fishery stakeholders	Meaningful initial conversations discussing backgrounds and exchanging contact information	N/A
American Clean Power annual OSW conference	American Clean Power annual OSW conference attendees	GSW's FL met with FLs of other projects, and attended panels on emerging technologies pertinent to fisheries and OSW	Interactions with other FL's, state and federal agencies, American Clean Power staff, and technology providers



Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Party(ies)	Follow up Actions, Activities, Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with Other Lessees
FCP feedback	Multiple West Coast commercial fishermen associations, recreational charter groups, state agencies, and other fishery stakeholders	Revise draft FCP to reflect industry feedback	Coordinated a meeting with other Central Coast Leaseholders and performed independent outreach
Stakeholders			
Introductions	Coastal communities, eNGOs, university	Site visits and presentations hosted by local government officials, local Universities, labor unions, and eNGO.	Joint engagement with Central Coast Leaseholders
Project updates	eNGOs	Project updates	Joint engagement with California Leaseholders
Central Coast Offshore Wind Information Session	Various	Serve as an on-site industry resource for individuals and groups and table, when possible, at future local engagements	Joint engagement with Central Coast Leaseholders
Cal Poly/California Sea Grant community public education workshop (first in series of three)	Various	Serve as an ongoing resource to provide updates and insight into the OSW industry. Listen to local feedback, ideas, projects and concerns	Joint engagement with Central Coast Leaseholders
Central Procurement Legislation	California State Legislature, members and committee staff	Various	Joint meetings with California Leaseholders and ACP-CA
Central Procurement Legislation	Governor's Office	Stay in communication	Joint meeting with California Leaseholders
Green Bond, Green Bank, Financing	Governor's Office of Business & Economic Development	Stay in communication	N/A
Green Bank, Green Bond	California Infrastructure Bank	Stay in communication	N/A



Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Party(ies)	Follow up Actions, Activities, Outcomes	Coordinated Engagement with Other Lessees
Morro Bay town hall on OSW	Various	Listen to local feedback, ideas, projects and concerns. Provide insight into the	N/A
		status of the industry and Project	
Central coast economic forecast	Regional business community and NGOs	Serve as an ongoing resource to provide updates and insight into the OSW industry	N/A
OSW joint leaseholders permitting group meeting	American Clean Power Association	Set up periodic meeting schedule	Joint engagement with California Leaseholders
OSW joint leaseholders fisheries meeting	American Clean Power Association	Set up periodic coordination meeting	Joint engagement with California Leaseholders
OSW updates	American Clean Power Association and Port of Long Beach	Coordination call. To follow up as Project design evolves	Joint engagement with Central Coast Leaseholders
Overview of plans and funding needs for Port of Humboldt	Port of Humboldt		Joint meeting with California Leaseholders and ACP-CA
Port of Long Beach plans, funding needs, overview	Port of Long Beach	Various	Joint meeting with California Leaseholders and ACP-CA
Proposed CHNMS designation	U.S. Congressional Members staff	Provide OSW industry letter on CHNMS when available	N/A
CHNMS proposal	Central Coast State Assembly and Senate Members	Provie OSW industry letter on CHNMS when available	N/A
Central Coast Offshore Wind Information Session	US Congress 24 th Congressional District, BOEM, CEC, CCC, CSLC, California State Assembly 30 th District, California State Senate District 17, San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors, City of Morro Bay, Port San Luis	Various	Joint engagement with Central Coast Leaseholders



3.2 Identification of Potential Project Effects Related to Parties

During the first reporting period, GSW's engagement has focused on Project introductions and providing Project overview information. Identification of potential effects to parties is therefore limited; however, effects identified during this reporting period are listed in Table 5.

Table 5. Potential Effects and Input from Parties on Project Design or Implementation

Potential Effect	Affected Party(ies)	Efforts to Address Potential Effect and/or How the Project Design or Implementation Has Been Informed
Agencies		
Viewshed and harbor/port impacts, economic impacts	City of Morro Bay, Morro Bay Harbor Department, Port San Luis Harbor District	Provide feedback to the parties as new Project design information is available.
Fisheries		
Site survey environmental impacts	Commercial fishermen participating in Limited Entry Groundfish, Albacore, and Swordfish fleets	Share GSW survey plans, equipment used and address claims individually to clarify survey impacts and mitigation (7c Fisheries Working Group topic).
Temporary displacement during surveys	Commercial fishermen participating in Limited Entry Groundfish, Albacore, and Swordfish fleets	Communicate survey plans early to fishermen to receive feedback. Use FL and Fishing Representatives to relay survey activities via multiple methods of communication to affected fishermen.
Stakeholders		
Onshore infrastructure	Local coastal communities	Maintain open channels of communication on Project timeline and scope.
Knowledge sharing	Local community organizations	Maintain open channels of communication on Project timeline. Maintain frequent community engagement opportunities for questions and concerns.
Survey activity environmental impact	eNGOs	Share GSW survey plans and equipment used to avoid, minimize, and mitigate impact.



3.3 Feedback from Parties on Transmission Planning

GSW is in the process of transmission planning, including identification of onshore and offshore routing constraints and development of draft transmission corridors. As part of this process, GSW will be engaging with Tribes, agencies, fisheries, and other stakeholders to solicit information on routing constraints and feedback on draft corridors and routes. GSW is committed to early engagement to solicit and implement meaningful feedback on this process. GSW will continue to work with these parties as potential export cable routes evolve. GSW will provide additional information and seek input about cable routing from other stakeholders as Project design progresses.

4 ANTICIPATED OR SCHEDULED ENGAGEMENT PLANNING

Table 6 describes anticipated engagement actives GSW plans to initiate and/or attend during the next reporting period.

Table 6. Anticipated Engagement with Parties for the Next Reporting Period

Anticipated Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Party(ies)	Anticipated Coordinated Engagement
Agencies		
Geophysical and geotechnical pre-survey meetings and metocean buoy deployment	Relevant federal and state agencies	N/A
Benthic survey plan	Relevant federal and state agencies	N/A
Survey notifications	U.S. Navy, USCG	N/A
Project cable siting and routing	Relevant federal, state, and local agencies, Tribes	N/A
Proposed state legislation and regulatory developments	California state legislature, relevant state agencies	California Leaseholders
Community relations and Project updates	Various central coast local government entities	Central Coast Leaseholders
Confirming permitting requirements, approach, and data needs	Relevant federal, state, and local agencies	N/A
Project updates	Relevant federal, state, and local agencies	N/A
Fisheries		
California Coastal Commission's 7c Fisheries Working Group to start, introductions, site survey activities (quarterly)	7c Fisheries Working Group members	California Leaseholders



Anticipated Focus of Discussion or Engagement	Party(ies)	Anticipated Coordinated Engagement
Port hours (monthly)	California commercial and recreational fisheries	Central Coast Leaseholders
Bi-weekly check-in for West Coast updates, fisheries engagement strategies, and California Coastal Commission's 7c Fisheries Working Group	ACP-CA, California Leaseholders	California Leaseholders
Bi-weekly check-in on Project updates, fisheries engagement, survey concerns, upcoming events pertinent to fisheries	Central Coast Leaseholder FLs	Central Coast leaseholders
Stakeholders		
Cal Poly/California Sea Grant Community public education workshops (second and third in series of three)	Various	Joint engagement with Central Coast Leaseholders
Local OSW forums	Various	California Leaseholders
Local community liaison "office hours"	Various	N/A
Project updates	Various	N/A

5 SURVEY PLAN ACTIVITIES

Table 7. Survey Plan Activities Under BOEM Review or Underway

Type of Survey	Survey Activity Date or Proposed Date	Purpose (i.e., what it will inform)	Location Description
GSW will submit a survey plan to BOEM in December 2023, for survey activities that are planned to			
begin in Q2 or Q3 2024. No survey plan activities are currently under BOEM review or underway.			

Table 8. Anticipated or Modified Survey Plan Activities

Type of Survey	Proposed Date	Purpose (i.e., what it will inform)	Location Description
Geophysical	Anticipated Q2 – Q4 2024	Site conditions	Lease Area and potential export cable route in federal waters
Geotechnical	Anticipated Q2 – Q4 2024	Site conditions	Lease Area



6 REFERENCES

BOEM (Bureau of Ocean Energy Management). 2023a. Commercial Lease of Submerged Lands for Renewables Energy Development on the Outer Continental Shelf. OCS-P 0564. Available online at: https://www.boem.gov/sites/default/files/documents/renewable-energy/state-activities/2023-05-16 BOEM CCOW POCSR Lease-0564.pdf.

BOEM. 2023b. Draft Guidelines and Instructions for Progress Report Required by BOEM New York Bight Leases (OCS-A 0537-0544) and Carolina Long Bay Leases (OCS-A 0545-0546). Available online at: https://www.boem.gov/sites/default/files/documents/renewable-energy/state-activities/Draft-Progress-Report-Guidance-NYB-CLB-Lessees.pdf.



Appendix A Tribes and Other Parties Relevant to the **Project**

In accordance with Section 3.1.1 of the Lease OCS-P 0564, GSW has identified Tribes and other parties in which are relevant to the Project, see Table A-1 and A-2. Native American Tribes and other parties include, but are not limited to:

- Coastal communities
- Commercial and recreational fishing industries and stakeholders
- Educational and research institutions
- Environmental and public interest non-governmental organizations
- Federal, state, and local agencies
- Tribes
- Mariners and the maritime industry
- Ocean users
- Submarine cable operators
- Underserved communities, as defined in Section 2 of Executive Order 13985

Table A-1. Tribes Relevant to the Project

Name of Native American Tribe			
Hoh Tribe			
Makah Indian Tribe of the Makah Indian Reservation			
Quileute Tribe of the Quileute Reservation			
Quinault Indian Nation			
Barbareño/Ventureño Band of Mission Indians			
Chumash Council of Bakersfield			
Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation			
Northern Chumash Tribal Council			
Salinan Tribe of Monterey, San Luis Obispo Counties			
San Luis Obispo County Chumash Council			
Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi Yokut Tribe			
Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Indians			
Tule River Indian Tribe			
Xolon-Salinan Tribe			
yak tit ^y u tit ^y u yak tiłhini – Northern Chumash Tribe			



Table A-2. Parties Relevant to the Project

Party Type	Name of Party
Federal Agencies	ВОЕМ
	Federal Aviation Administration
	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine
	Fisheries Service (NOAA Fisheries)
	NOAA National Ocean Service Integrated Ocean Observing System
	Office
	NOAA National Marine Sanctuaries
	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 9
	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
	U.S. Coast Guard
	U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Navy
	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
State Agencies	California Coastal Commission
_	California Department of Fish and Wildlife
	California Energy Commission
	California Office of Historic Preservation
	California State Lands Commission
	California State Water Resources Control Board
	California State Parks
	California Public Utilities Commission
	California Environmental Protection Agency
	California Department of Water Resources
	California Department of Conservation
	California Ocean Protection Council
	California Labor & Workforce Development Agency
	California Natural Resources Agency
Local Agencies and Coastal	California Association of Harbormasters and Port Captains
Communities	San Luis Obispo County
	San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District
	City of Morro Bay
	City of Morro Bay Harbor Department
	Port San Luis
	Port San Luis Harbor District
	Central Coast State Parks Association
	Cayucos Sanitary District
Educational and Research	Cal Poly San Luis Obispo
Institutions	Cuesta College
	Allen Hancock College
	San Luis Coastal Unified School District
Farmania David	
Economic Development Organizations	REACH Central Coast
Organizacions	Morro Bay Chamber of Commerce



Party Type	Name of Party	
	San Luis Obispo Chamber of Commerce	
	Uplift Central Coast	
	Monterey Bay Economic Partnership	
	Economic Development Collaborative	
	Center for Innovation and Entrepreneurship	
	Cal Poly SBDC	
	Mission Community Services Corporation/Womens Business Center	
	San Luis Obispo County Workforce Development Board	
Underserved Communities	Latino Outreach Council	
	Boys and Girls Clubs of Mid Central Coast	
	First 5 SLO County	
	Diversity Coalition	
	GALA Center for Pride and Diversity	
Environmental Organizations	Pacific Wildlife Care	
and Working Groups	Sierra Club Santa Lucia Chapter	
	Sea Otter Savvy	
	ECOSLO	
	Marine Mammal Center	
	Morro Bay National Estuary Program	
	Morro Bay Open Space Alliance	
	REACT Alliance	
	Piedras Blancas Lightstation Association	
	Hearst Ranch	
	Friends of the Elephant Seal	
	Central Coast Aquarium	
	Monterey Bay Aquarium	
	Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo	
	Morro Coast Audubon Society	
	Cayucos Land Conservancy	
	Surfrider SLO	
	San Luis Obispo County Climate Justice Alliance	
	Morro Bay Winter Bird Festival	
	Ecologistics	
	Community Environmental Council	
	Central Coast Bioneers	
	Friends of Wild Cherry Canyon	
	O'Neill Sea Odessey	
	Save Our Shores	
	Natural Resources Defense Council	
	Environmental Defense Fund	
	Audubon Society	
	Oceana	
	Save the Whales	



Party Type	Name of Party
	Whale and Dolphin Conservation
	The Nature Conservancy
	American Land Conservancy
	Greenpeace
	Ocean Conservation Society
	The Ocean Foundation
	Center for Biological Diversity
	Ocean Conservation Research
	Environmental Protection Information Center
	California Marine Sanctuary Foundation
	Defenders of Wildlife
Commercial Fishing Industry	Alliance of Communities for Sustainable Fisheries
	American Albacore Fishing Association
	Bodega Bay Fishermen's Marketing Association
	California's Fishermen Resiliency Association
	California Wetfish Producers Association
	Central California Joint Cable/Fisheries Liaison Committee
	Central Coast Women for Fisheries Inc
	Commercial Fishermen of Santa Barabara
	Joint Oil/Fisheries Liaison Office
	Monterey Bay Fisheries Trust
	Monterey Bay Commercial Fishermen's Marketing Association
	Morro Bay Commercial Fishermen's Organization
	Moss Landing Commercial Fishermen's Association
	Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen Association
	Port San Luis Commercial Fishermen's Association
	Responsible Offshore Development Alliance
	San Diego Fishermen's Working Group
	Small Boat Commercial Salmon Fishermen's Association
	Shelter Cove Fishing Preservation Association Inc.
	Southern California Trawlers Association
	Ventura County Commercial Fishermen's Association
	Washington Dungeness Crab Fishermen's Association
	West Coast Pelagic Conservation Group
	Western Fishboat Owner's Association
	Giovanni's Seafood Market and Galley
	Ocean Gold Seafood
	Pacific Seafood
	West Coast Seafood Processors Association
Recreational Fishing Industry	American Sportfishing Association
	California Coastal Conservation Association: Morro Bay Chapter
	Morro Bay Landing



Party Type	Name of Party
	Pacific Charters Sportfishing
	Patriot Sportfishing
	Sportfishing Association of California
	Sub Sea Tours
	Virg's Landing



Appendix B Lessee and Liaison Contact Information

Name	Role	Contact Information
Lia Howard	Permitting Senior Manager	lia.howard@oceanwinds.com
Golden State Wind LLC		650-313-0367
Silvia Yanez	State and Local Permitting	silvia.yanez@oceanwinds.com
Golden State Wind LLC	Manager	415-310-4129
Rachel Mahler	Fisheries Liaison	rachel.mahler@oceanwinds.com
Golden State Wind LLC		805-680-8138
Brian Williams	Tribal Liaison	bwilliams@asmaffiliates.com
ASM Affiliates		760-212-6499



Appendix C Communications Plans

Please find the following Communications Plans status/location listed below.

- GSW's Agency Communications Plan is located at https://www.goldenstatewind.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/GSW Agency-Comms-Plan 2023.09.29 clean-compressed.pdf.
- GSW's Fisheries Communications Plan is located at https://www.goldenstatewind.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/GSW Fisheries-Comms-Plan 2023.11.03 clean-compressed.pdf.
- GSW is in the process of developing a Joint Native American Tribes Communication Plan with the Central Coast Leaseholders, which will be published on the GSW website when completed.